arXiv:1611.06699v1 [math.PR] 21 Nov 2016

On the number of eigenvalues of modified permutation matrices in mesoscopic intervals

Valentin Bahier *

November 22, 2016

Abstract

We are interested in two random matrix ensembles related to permutations: the ensemble of permutation matrices following Ewens' distribution of a given parameter $\theta > 0$, and its modification where entries equal to 1 in the matrices are replaced by independent random variables uniformly distributed on the unit circle. For the elements of each ensemble, we focus on the random numbers of eigenvalues lying in some specified arcs of the unit circle. We show that for a finite number of fixed arcs, the fluctuation of the numbers of eigenvalues belonging to them is asymptotically Gaussian. Moreover, for a single arc, we extend this result to the case where the length goes to zero sufficiently slowly when the size of the matrix goes to infinity. Finally, we investigate the behaviour of the largest and smallest spacing between two distinct consecutive eigenvalues.

1 Introduction

1.1 Random permutation matrices

The spectrum of random permutation matrices has drawn much attention the last few decades. On the one hand, working with permutation matrices instead of permutations brings a new approach for understanding the structure of permutation groups. On the other hand, the sets of permutation matrices can be seen as finite subgroups of orthogonal groups or unitary groups, and thus the study of permutation matrices gives the opportunity to see how much of the structure of larger groups emerges through these finite subgroups.

To make it clear, let us recall the few following definition and facts:
A **permutation matrix** is a square matrix that has exactly one entry equal to 1 in each row and each column and 0's elsewhere. Since such a matrix is in particular unitary, its spectrum is included in the unit circle. There is a correspondence between $\mathfrak{S}_N$ (the set of permutations of order N) and the set of permutation matrices of size N. The spectrum of any permutation matrix is completely determined by the **cycle structure** of its corresponding permutation. In other words, this spectrum is a function of the numbers of cycles of same size when one decomposes the permutation into disjoint cycles. Besides, when a permutation is uniformly chosen at random, the joint distribution of these cycle counts is known (see [2], Chapter 1). Wieand took advantage of this to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the counting function for the eigenvalues of permutation matrices lying in some fixed arcs on the unit circle [14], and also for some wreath products involving $\mathfrak{S}_N$ [13], under the uniform distribution. In addition, the work of Blair-Stahn [3] revealed how difficult it is to compute the limiting expectation of the counting function for a shrinking interval of type $\left(\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi a}, \mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\left(a+\frac{b}{N}\right)}\right]$ on the unit circle, with a, b fixed real numbers, and in particular for some special a there is not known explicit expression.

The uniform distribution on $\mathfrak{S}_N$ has not been the only one studied. Indeed, the use of Ewens measures is quite natural [9] and very convenient to study in some mathematical aspects (see [2] and [5] for a definition and related results). Heuristically, the Ewens measures are one-parameter deformations of the uniform distribution, where the parameter (usually denoted by $\theta > 0$) influences the expected total number of cycles in the decomposition into disjoint cycle of a randomly chosen permutation. Ben

*valentin.bahier@math.univ-toulouse.fr

Arous and Dang [1] tackled this family of measures over permutation matrices and gave some asymptotic results for linear statistics of their spectrum (not only the counting function).

Furthermore, wreath products have some applications in group theory (*e.g.* finding the exhaustive list of Sylow groups from a given finite permutation group) and in graph theory (*e.g.* looking at automorphisms on regular rooted trees (see for example [8])). Basically, including of randomness in these ensembles aims to have a better understanding of their structure.

Before stating in which way we want to extend the results of Wieand and Blair-Stahn in this article, let us mention some other relative work, such as the study of characteristic polynomial of random permutation matrices by Hambly, Keevash O'Connell, Stark [10] or of more generally multiplicative class functions for some wreath products by Zeindler *et al.* [15] [16] [6] [7]. We can also mention the various results of Najnudel and Nikeghbali [11] for the point process of eigenvalues where a meaning of almost sure convergence of the empirical spectral measure is made precise for some modified random permutation matrices.

Now, we introduce the way we shall continue some of the previous works:

- We look at the counting function of eigenvalues for the ensemble of permutation matrices and the wreath product $S^1 \wr \mathfrak{S}_N$ (where S^1 is the group of complex numbers of modulus 1) endowed with Ewens measures. Our motivation for studying this particular wreath product is twofold: its spectral distribution is quite more convenient to study, and it brings closer the analogy with the Circular Unitary Ensemble. Indeed, in contrast with the ensemble of permutation matrices, the distribution of eigenvalues for $S^1 \wr \mathfrak{S}_N$ is invariant by rotation.

- We take advantage of some tools introduced in the articles of Wieand [14] and Ben Arous, Dang [1] and develop them in our framework.

- We also investigate the counting function at an intermediate scale (mesoscopic) between macroscopic and microscopic scales, where the observed number of eigenvalues still tends to infinity when N goes to infinity. The study of its fluctuations is motivated by comparison with an analogous result of Bourgade which is given as a consequence of Theorem 1.4 in [4], for unitary matrices.

1.2 Notations and main results

In this article we will adopt the following notations:
For all real numbers x, we denote by $\lfloor x \rfloor$ the floor of x, $\lceil x \rceil$ the ceiling of x, and $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ the fractional part of x.
If (u_n) is a sequence of real numbers and x a real number we will write $u_n = \mathcal{O}_x(1)$ when there exists a constant C_x such that for all n, $|u_n| \leq C_x$.
Let $\theta > 0$. Let $(\sigma_N)_{N\geq 1}$ be a sequence of random permutations following Ewens measure of parameter θ. Let $(z_j)_{j\geq 1}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables uniformly distributed on the unit circle, independent of $(\sigma_N)_{N\geq 1}$. For all $N \geq 1$, we define M_N and $\widetilde{M}_N$ as the N-by-N matrices whose entries are given by:

$$\forall 1 \leq i,j \leq N, \quad \begin{cases} (M_N)_{i,j} & := \mathbb{1}_{i=\sigma_N(j)} \\ (\widetilde{M}_N)_{i,j} & := z_i \mathbb{1}_{i=\sigma_N(j)}. \end{cases}$$

In all the following we identify the ensemble of permutation matrices of order N and the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$, and consider the outputs of $\widetilde{M}_N$ as elements of the wreath product of S^1 and $\mathfrak{S}_N$.

It is easy to check that the elements of both ensembles are in particular unitary matrices, and thus their eigenvalues belong to the unit circle.

Then, the question of the asymptotic behaviour of the distribution of these eigenvalues arises naturally, in particular if one wants to compare them with some known results on other random matrix ensembles.

To this purpose, let $I := \left(\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\alpha}, \mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\beta}\right]$ the interval which denotes the arc on the unit circle from $\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\alpha}$ (excluded) to $\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\beta}$ (included), with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\alpha < \beta \leq \alpha + 1$. We take it half-open for practical reason. The conditions on α and β are sufficient to take whatever (half-open) interval of the unit circle. For $N \geq 1$, we define X_N^I and $\widetilde{X}_N^I$ as the respective numbers of eigenvalues of M_N and $\widetilde{M}_N$ lying in I.

The two main results of the paper are the two following theorems:

Theorem 1. *Let $I_1, \cdots, I_m$ be a finite number of fixed arcs of the form $I_k := \left(\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\alpha_k}, \mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\beta_k}\right]$.*

1. *There exists a covariance matrix D such that*

$$\left(\frac{X_N^{I_1}-\mathbb{E}(X_N^{I_1})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X_N^{I_1})}},\cdots,\frac{X_N^{I_m}-\mathbb{E}(X_N^{I_m})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X_N^{I_m})}}\right)\xrightarrow{d}\mathcal{N}(0,D)$$

where for all $1\leq k,l\leq m$,

$$D_{kl}:=c_{k,l}\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\theta\log N}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X_N^{I_k})\mathrm{Var}(X_N^{I_l})}}.$$

The coefficient $c_{k,l}$ is given by the following limit expression:

$$c_{k,l}=c(\alpha_k,\beta_k,\alpha_l,\beta_l):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}(\{j\beta_k\}-\{j\alpha_k\})(\{j\beta_l\}-\{j\alpha_l\}).$$

In particular, since the diagonal terms of D are equal to 1 by construction,

$$\mathrm{Var}(X_N^{I_k})\underset{N\to\infty}{\sim}c_{k,k}\theta\log N.$$

2. *There exists a covariance matrix $\widetilde{D}$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{\widetilde{X}_N^{I_1}-\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_1})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_1})}},\cdots,\frac{\widetilde{X}_N^{I_m}-\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_m})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_m})}}\right)\xrightarrow{d}\mathcal{N}(0,\widetilde{D})$$

where for all $1\leq k,l\leq m$,

$$\widetilde{D}_{kl}:=\widetilde{c}_{k,l}\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\theta\log N}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_k})\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_l})}}.$$

The coefficient $\widetilde{c}_{k,l}$ is given by the following limit expression:

$$\widetilde{c}_{k,l}=\widetilde{c}(\alpha_k,\beta_k,\alpha_l,\beta_l):=\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{2N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}h_j(\beta_k-\alpha_l)+h_j(\alpha_k-\beta_l)-h_j(\alpha_k-\alpha_l)-h_j(\beta_k-\beta_l)$$

with $h_j(x):=\{jx\}(1-\{jx\})$. In particular

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_k})\underset{N\to\infty}{\sim}\widetilde{c}_{k,k}\theta\log N.$$

Remark. The first and second items have already been established respectively in [12] and [13], in the particular case $\theta=1$. Also, for $m=1$ the first item simply derives from Theorem 1.5 in [1]. Furthermore, the second item can be deduced from Proposition 1.2 in [6] considering the imaginary part of the logarithm of the characteristic polynomial, for the specific case where the family $(1,\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m,\beta_1,\cdots,\beta_m)$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Most of the innovative work in this paper holds in the following main result.

Theorem 2. *Assume I to be depending on N, of the form $I=I_N:=\left[\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\alpha_N},\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\beta_N}\right]$. Denote $\delta_N:=\beta_N-\alpha_N>0$. Suppose that the sequence (δ_N) satisfies $\begin{cases}\delta_N\underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0\\ N\delta_N\underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}+\infty.\end{cases}$*

1. *Then*

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I})\underset{N\to\infty}{\sim}\frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N)$$

and

$$\frac{\widetilde{X}_N^{I}-\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I})}}\xrightarrow{d}\mathcal{N}(0,1).$$

2. *Suppose in addition that the sequence (α_N) is constant, say $\alpha_N = \alpha$ for all N. Then*

$$\mathrm{Var}(X_N^I) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \begin{cases} \dfrac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N) & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is irrationnal} \\ \theta\left(\dfrac{1}{6}+\dfrac{1}{6q^2}\right)\log(N\delta_N) & \text{if } \alpha = \dfrac{p}{q} \text{ with } p,q \text{ coprime integers}, q \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{X_N^I - \mathbb{E}(X_N^I)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X_N^I)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1).$$

The article is organized as follows: In section 2 we begin with preliminary results about Cesàro means and Feller Coupling. In sections 3 and 4 we prove Theorems 1 and 2, investigating the asymptotic behaviour of the mean and variance of the considered sequences of random variables. In section 5 we look at the extremal spacings between two consecutive eigenvalues and establish some results of tightness. This last section is independent of the sections 3 and 4.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Cesàro means of fractional order

We set up here a few results (highly inspired from [17] Volume 1 chapter 3, and [1]) about Cesàro means of fractional order, that we will use to investigate the asymptotical behaviour of the variance.

Definition 3. For all $1 \leq j \leq n$, we define $\Psi_n(j) := \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-j+1)}{(\theta+n-1)\cdots(\theta+n-j)}$.

Definition 4. (i) The **Cesàro numbers** of order $\delta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1,-2,-3,\cdots\}$ are given by

$$A_n^\delta := \binom{n+\delta}{n} = \frac{(n+\delta)\cdots(1+\delta)}{n!}.$$

(ii) The **Cesàro mean** of order $\theta > 0$ of the sequence $w = (w_j)_{j\geq 0}$ is given by

$$\sigma_n^\theta(w) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{A_{n-j}^{\theta-1}}{A_n^\theta} w_j.$$

(iii) A sequence of real numbers $w = (w_j)_{j\geq 0}$ is said to be **convergent in Cesàro sense** of order θ (and will be denoted by (C,θ)) to a limit ℓ iff $\sigma_n^\theta(w) \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \ell$.

Remark. If $w_0 = 0$, then the Cesàro mean of the sequence (w_j) can be reformulated as

$$\sigma_n^\theta(w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{A_{n-j}^{\theta-1}}{A_n^\theta} w_j = \frac{\theta}{\theta+n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Psi_n(j) w_j.$$

Lemma 5.
- *The sequence $(A_n^\delta)_n$ is positive for $\delta > -1$, increasing for $\delta > 0$, decreasing for $-1 < \delta < 0$.*
- *If $\delta < -1$, then $(A_n^\delta)_n$ has constant sign for n large enough.*
- $A_n^\delta \sim \frac{n^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta+1)}$.

Proof. The first and second items are easy to check. For the third one, we simply use the fact that for all complex non-negative integers z, $\Gamma(z) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n!n^z}{z(z+1)\cdots(z+n)}$. □

Let us introduce for all δ the sequence $(S_n^\delta)_n$ defined as $S_n^\delta = S_n^\delta(w) := \sigma_n^\delta(w) A_n^\delta$. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 6. *The sequences $(A_n^\delta)_n$ and $(S_n^\delta)_n$ satisfy the following relations for all r, s such that r, s, $r+s+1 \neq -1, -2, -3, \cdots$:*

$$A_n^{r+s+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j^r A_{n-j}^s$$

$$S_n^{r+s+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} S_j^r A_{n-j}^s.$$

In particular, by replacing r by $r-1$ and s by 0, we get

$$A_n^r = \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j^{r-1}$$

$$S_n^r = \sum_{j=0}^{n} S_j^{r-1}.$$

Proof. Let $-1 < x < 1$. By a classical development in series, $(1-x)^{-\delta-1} = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{+\infty} A_n^\delta x^n$. Then it suffices to write

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} A_n^{r+s+1} x^n = (1-x)^{-(r+s+1)-1} &= (1-x)^{-r-1}(1-x)^{-s-1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} A_n^r x^n\right)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} A_m^s x^m\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j^r A_{n-j}^s\right) x^n\end{aligned}$$

and identify both side of the equality.
Similarly, $(1-x)^{-\delta} \sum\limits_{n=0}^{+\infty} w_n x^n = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{+\infty} S_n^\delta x^n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} S_n^{r+s+1} x^n = (1-x)^{-r-s-1}\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} w_n x^n &= \left((1-x)^{-r}\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} w_n x^n\right)(1-x)^{-s-1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} S_n^r x^n\right)\left(\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} A_m^s x^m\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} S_j^r A_{n-j}^s\right) x^n\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 7. *Let M be the infinite matrix $M = (M_{i,j})_{\substack{i\geq 1\\ j\geq 1}}$. We consider two real sequences $(s_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(t_n)_{n\geq 1}$, and a real number L. We make the following assumptions*

(i) $\forall i, j,\ M_{i,j} \geq 0.$

(ii) $\forall n,\ \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} M_{n,j} = 1$ *et* $\sum\limits_{j=n+1}^{+\infty} M_{n,j} = 0.$

(iii) $\forall j,\ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} M_{n,j} = 0.$

(iv) $\forall n,\ t_n = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} M_{n,j} s_j.$

(v) $s_n \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} L$.

Then $t_n \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} L$.

Remark. Naturally, this result can be easily adapted by considering indexes starting from 0 instead of 1.

Proof. By the hypotheses (ii) and (iv), we first notice that for all n,

$$t_n - L = \sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{n,j}(s_j - L).$$

Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. By (v),

$$\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall j > N_0,\ |s_j - L| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Set $K := \max(\max_{1\leq k\leq N_0} |s_k - L|, 1)$. By (iii),

$$\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall n > N_1,\ \forall j \leq N_0,\ M_{n,j} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2N_0K}.$$

Then, with $N := \max(N_0, N_1)$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\forall n > N,\ |t_n - L| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} M_{n,j}|s_j - L| + \sum_{j=N_0+1}^{n} M_{n,j}|s_j - L| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n} M_{n,j} = \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

which gives the claim. □

Lemma 8. *If a sequence* (w_n) *converges* (C,δ) *to a real number* ℓ *for any* $\delta > -1$*, then it converges* $(C, \delta + h)$ *to* ℓ *for all* $h > 0$*.*

Proof. Let $h > 0$. Applying Lemma 6 with $r = \delta$ and $s = h - 1$ we have

$$S_n^{\delta+h} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} S_j^{\delta} A_{n-j}^{h-1}$$

hence

$$\sigma_n^{\delta+h} = \frac{S_n^{\delta+h}}{A_n^{\delta+h}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{S_j^{\delta} A_{n-j}^{h-1}}{A_n^{\delta+h}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{A_j^{\delta} A_{n-j}^{h-1}}{A_n^{\delta+h}} \sigma_j^{\delta}.$$

Thus $\sigma_n^{\delta+h}$ is a linear transformation of the σ_j^{δ}, $0 \leq j \leq n$ which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7. Indeed, taking $M_{n,j} := \frac{A_j^{\delta} A_{n-j}^{h-1}}{A_n^{\delta+h}} \mathbb{1}_{j\leq n}$, it is easy to see that

(i) $\forall i, j \geq 0,\ M_{i,j} \geq 0$, since $\delta > -1$, $h - 1 > -1$ and $\delta + h > -1$.

(ii) $\forall n,\ \sum_{j=0}^{n} M_{n,j} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\delta+h}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j^{\delta} A_{n-j}^{h-1} = 1$ and $\sum_{j=n+1}^{+\infty} M_{n,j} = 0$.

(iii) Let $j \leq n$. $\frac{A_{n-j}^{h-1}}{A_n^{\delta+h}} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1-\delta})$, therefore $M_{n,j} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1-\delta})$, with $\delta > -1$ by assumption thus $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_{n,j} = 0$.

(iv) $\forall n,\ \sigma_n^{\delta+h} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} M_{n,j}\sigma_j^{\delta}$.

(v) $\sigma_n^{\delta} \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \ell$ (assumption).

Consequently, Theorem 7 gives $\sigma_n^{\delta+h} \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \ell$. □

Proposition 9. *If a sequence (w_n) of real numbers is bounded and converging $(C,1)$ to a real number ℓ, then it converges (C,δ) to ℓ for all $\delta > 0$.*

Proof. The proof we give is inspired from one of a more general result given in [17] Volume 2 page 70. Let $w = (w_n)$ be bounded by a constant $K > 0$, converging $(C,1)$ to ℓ. Let $\delta > 0$. The case $\delta \geq 1$ immediately follows from the previous lemma. Thus we can assume $0 < \delta < 1$. Moreover, we assume without loss of generality that $\ell = 0$ (since the general case $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ can be derived from a simple translation). We are going to show that $\sigma_n^\delta(w) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, and let $t \in (0,1)$ that we will set later. We split S_n^δ:

$$S_n^\delta = \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_n^{\delta-1} w_j =: P_n + Q_n$$

where $P_n := \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} A_{n-j}^{\delta-1} w_j$ and $Q_n := \sum_{j=\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}^{n} A_{n-j}^{\delta-1} w_j$.
First,

$$|Q_n| \leq K \sum_{j=\lfloor nt \rfloor+1}^{n} A_{n-j}^{\delta-1} = K A_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor-1}^{\delta} = K A_{n(1-t)-\{nt\}-1}^{\delta} \underset{n\to\infty}{\sim} K'(n(1-t))^\delta.$$

Hence, if t is sufficiently close to 1,

$$\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall n \geq n_0,\ \frac{|Q_n|}{A_n^\delta} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now, if we look at P_n, a summation by parts (Abel transformation) gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} A_{n-j}^{\delta-1} w_j &= A_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor}^{\delta-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} w_j - \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor-1} (A_{n-(j+1)}^{\delta-1} - A_{n-j}^{\delta-1}) \sum_{k=0}^{j} w_k \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} S_j^1 A_{n-j}^{\delta-2} + S_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}^1 (A_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor}^{\delta-1} - A_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor}^{\delta-2}) \end{aligned}$$

and $A_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor}^{\delta-1} - A_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor}^{\delta-2} = A_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor-1}^{\delta-1} \sim C(n(1-t))^{\delta-1}$ where C is a positive constant. Besides (w_n) converges $(C,1)$ to 0, therefore $S_n^1 = o(n)$, then $S_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}^1 = o(n)$ and thus $S_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}^1 A_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor-1}^{\delta-1} = o(n^\delta)$. Hence

$$P_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} S_j^1 A_{n-j}^{\delta-2} + o(n^\delta).$$

Moreover,

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} S_j^1 A_{n-j}^{\delta-2} \right| \leq \left(\max_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor \leq j \leq n} |A_j^{\delta-2}| \right) \sum_{j=0}^{n} |S_j^1|$$

with $\sum_{j=0}^{n} |S_j^1| = o(n^2)$, and since $\delta - 2 < -1$ then $A_j^{\delta-2}$ has constant sign for j large enough and consequently $\max_{n-\lfloor nt \rfloor \leq j \leq n} |A_j^{\delta-2}| = \mathcal{O}(n^{\delta-2})$. We deduce that $|P_n| = o(n^\delta)$, and then

$$\exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall n \geq n_1,\ \frac{|P_n|}{A_n^\delta} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Finally, for all $n \geq n_2 := \max(n_0, n_1)$, $\left| \frac{S_n^\delta}{A_n^\delta} \right| \leq \varepsilon$, which concludes the proof. □

Proposition 10. *Let $(w_j)_{j\geq 1}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers. Suppose that there exists $L>0$ such that $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Psi_n(j)w_j \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} L$. Then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j}w_j \underset{n\to\infty}{\sim} L\theta\log n.$$

Proof. For all $n\geq 1$, let us define $s_n := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Psi_n(j)w_j$, and $t_n := \frac{1}{J_n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j}w_j$, where $J_n := \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\theta}{\theta+j-1}$. We introduce the infinite matrix $M=(M_{n,j})$ defined for all $n,j\geq 1$ by

$$M_{n,j} = \frac{1}{J_n}\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta+j}\mathbb{1}_{j<n}+\mathbb{1}_{j=n}\right).$$

The assumptions (i), (ii), (iii) and (v) of Theorem 7 are easy to check.
We show (iv) by induction:

- $\underline{n=1}$: $t_1=\Psi_1(1)w_1=\frac{w_1}{\theta}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{1}M_{1,j}s_j=s_1=\frac{w_1}{\theta}$.
- $\underline{n-1 \text{ to } n}$: Suppose that the statement holds for $n-1$. We prove it at the step n, in other words we want to show

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j}w_j=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{\theta}{\theta+j}s_j+s_n \qquad (E)$$

By induction hypothesis, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{n-2}\frac{\theta}{\theta+j}s_j=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{\Psi_{n-1}(j)}{j}w_j-s_{n-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(E) &\iff \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j}w_j=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{\Psi_{n-1}(j)}{j}w_j-\frac{1}{\theta+n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Psi_{n-1}(j)w_j+\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\Psi_n(j)w_j\\
&\impliedby \forall j\in[\![1,n-1]\!],\quad \frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j}=\frac{\Psi_{n-1}(j)}{j}-\frac{\Psi_{n-1}(j)}{\theta+n-1}+\frac{\Psi_n(j)}{n}\\
&\iff \forall j\in[\![1,n-1]\!],\quad \Psi_n(j)\left[\frac{1}{j}-\frac{1}{n}\right]=\Psi_{n-1}(j)\left[\frac{1}{j}-\frac{1}{\theta+n-1}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Psi_n(j)=\frac{n}{n+\theta-1}\frac{n+\theta-j-1}{n-j}\Psi_{n-1}(j)$ for all $j\leq n-1$, we obtain

$$\Psi_n(j)\left[\frac{1}{j}-\frac{1}{n}\right]=\frac{n+\theta-j-1}{(n+\theta-1)j}\Psi_{n-1}(j)=\Psi_{n-1}(j)\left[\frac{1}{j}-\frac{1}{\theta+n-1}\right]$$

and consequently (E) is true.

Thus the condition (iv) of Theorem 7 is satisfied. It follows that $t_n \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} L$.
Finally, it just remains to see that $J_n\sim\theta\log n$, which is quite clear by comparison of divergent series with positive terms, since $\frac{1}{\theta+j-1}\underset{j\to\infty}{\sim}\frac{1}{j}$. □

Lemma 11. *For all $n\geq 1$,*

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Psi_n(j)=\frac{1}{\theta}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\theta+j-1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6, $A_n^\theta = \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{\theta-1}$. Moreover, it is easy to notice that $\Psi_n(j) = \frac{\theta+n}{\theta}\frac{A_{n-j}^{\theta-1}}{A_n^\theta}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $\frac{\theta+n}{\theta}\frac{A_n^{\theta-1}}{A_n^\theta} = 1$. Therefore, $1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \Psi_n(j) = \frac{\theta+n}{\theta}$, which gives the first equality of the lemma.

For the second equality, we use the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 10. We had proven that for all n, $t_n = (Ms)_n$. In particular, if (w_j) is the constant sequence equal to 1, then, following the first equality, $s_j = \frac{1}{\theta}$ for all j, and

$$t_n = \frac{1}{J_n}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j} = (Ms)_n = \frac{1}{J_n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{\theta}{\theta+j}s_j + s_n\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{J_n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\theta+j} + \frac{1}{\theta}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

□

Lemma 12. *For all $1 \leq j \leq n$,*

$$\sum_{p=j}^{n-1}\frac{A_{p-j}^{\theta-1}}{pA_p^\theta} = \Psi_n(j)\left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Proof. With the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 10, we notice that

$$J_n(Ms)_n = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} J_n M_{n,p} s_p + s_n = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1}\frac{\theta}{\theta+p}\frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^p \Psi_p(j)w_j + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \Psi_n(j)w_j$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j\left[\sum_{p=j}^{n-1}\frac{\theta}{\theta+p}\frac{\Psi_p(j)}{p} + \frac{\Psi_n(j)}{n}\right] + \frac{\Psi_n(n)}{n}w_n$$

and

$$J_n t_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j\frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j} + \frac{\Psi_n(n)}{n}w_n.$$

Therefore, by identification, for all $j \in [\![1, n-1]\!]$,

$$\frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j} = \sum_{p=j}^{n-1}\frac{\theta}{\theta+p}\frac{\Psi_p(j)}{p} + \frac{\Psi_n(j)}{n}.$$

Finally, using the definition of Cesàro numbers it is clear that $\frac{\theta}{\theta+p}\frac{\Psi_p(j)}{p} = \frac{A_{p-j}^{\theta-1}}{pA_p^\theta}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. □

2.2 Feller Coupling

Let $(\sigma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of random permutation generated under Ewens measure of parameter θ. For all $n \geq 1$ we denote by $a_{n,j}$ the number of j-cycles in the decomposition into disjoint cycles of σ_n. The $a_{n,j}$ are also called the **cycle counts** of σ_n.

The next result will be useful to prove the main results of the paper. It consists in an approximation of the cycle counts by independent Poisson random variables, using the so-called **Feller Coupling** (see [2]).

Lemma 13. *One can couple $(\sigma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ with a sequence $(W_j)_{j\geq 1}$ of independent Poisson random variables of parameter θ/j in such a way that for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{n,j} - W_j|\right) \leq 1 + \theta + \theta^2.$$

Remark. Note that the explicit upper bound in θ given in this result does not help for anything since what we will use is the independence of n. Still, this is a lightly better bound (but not optimal) than the one given in [2]. We provide it by dealing with Cesàro means which naturally emerge from Feller Coupling.

Proof. We introduce independent Bernoulli random variables ξ_r, $r \geq 1$, defined as

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_r = 1) = \frac{\theta}{\theta + r - 1} \quad , \quad \mathbb{P}(\xi_r = 0) = \frac{r-1}{\theta + r - 1}.$$

The Feller Coupling characterizes the variables $a_{n,j}$ and W_j on the same probability space in function of the ξ_r, by the following equalities: For all $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,j} &= \#\{j - \text{spacings between two consecutive 1 in the word } (1\ \xi_2\ \cdots\ \xi_n\ 1)\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-j} \xi_k(1-\xi_{k+1})\cdots(1-\xi_{k+j-1})\xi_{k+j} + \xi_{n-j+1}(1-\xi_{n-j+1})\cdots(1-\xi_n), \end{aligned}$$

and for all $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$W_j = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \xi_k(1-\xi_{k+1})\cdots(1-\xi_{k+j-1})\xi_{k+j}.$$

To begin with, it is easy to notice that

$$|a_{n,j} - W_j| \leq \mathbb{1}_{J_n = j} + W_{j,n} + \mathbb{1}_{J_n + K_n = j+1}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} J_n := \min\{k \geq 1 :\ \xi_{n-k+1} = 1\} \\ K_n := \min\{k \geq 1 :\ \xi_{n+k} = 1\} \\ W_{j,n} := \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} \xi_k(1-\xi_{k+1})\cdots(1-\xi_{k+j-1})\xi_{k+j} \end{cases}$$

therefore

$$\mathbb{E}\,|a_{n,j} - W_j| \leq \mathbb{P}(J_n = j) + \mathbb{E}(W_{j,n}) + \mathbb{P}(J_n + K_n = j+1).$$

We look separately at the three right-hand side terms in this inequality.

- $\mathbb{P}(J_n = j) = \frac{\theta}{\theta+n-j}\frac{n-j+1}{\theta+n-j+1}\cdots\frac{n-1}{\theta+n-1} = \frac{\theta}{n}\Psi_n(j)$. Hence, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(J_n = j) = \frac{\theta}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Psi_n(j) = 1$.
- $\mathbb{E}(W_{j,n}) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{\theta}{\theta+k-1}\frac{k}{\theta+k}\cdots\frac{k+j-2}{\theta+k+j-2}\frac{\theta}{\theta+k+j-1} = \sum_{p=n+j+1}^{+\infty} \frac{\theta}{\theta+p-j-1}\frac{p-j}{\theta+p-j}\cdots\frac{p-2}{\theta+p-2}\frac{\theta}{\theta+p-1}$.
- $\mathbb{P}(J_n+K_n = j+1) = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{\theta}{\theta+n+k-j-1}\frac{n+k-j}{\theta+n+k-j}\cdots\frac{n+k-2}{\theta+n+k-2}\frac{\theta}{\theta+n+k-1} = \sum_{p=n+1}^{n+j} \frac{\theta}{\theta+p-j-1}\frac{p-j}{\theta+p-j}\cdots\frac{p-2}{\theta+p-2}\frac{\theta}{\theta+p-1}$.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(W_{j,n}) + \mathbb{P}(J_n + K_n = j+1) &= \sum_{p=n+1}^{+\infty} \frac{\theta}{\theta+p-j-1}\frac{p-j}{\theta+p-j}\cdots\frac{p-2}{\theta+p-2}\frac{\theta}{\theta+p-1} \\ &= \theta\sum_{p=n}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{p}\frac{A_{p-j}^{\theta-1}}{A_p^\theta} = \theta\left[\sum_{p=j}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{p}\frac{A_{p-j}^{\theta-1}}{A_p^\theta} - \sum_{p=j}^{n-1}\frac{1}{p}\frac{A_{p-j}^{\theta-1}}{A_p^\theta}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Lemma 12,

$$\sum_{p=j}^{n-1}\frac{1}{p}\frac{A_{p-j}^{\theta-1}}{A_p^\theta} = \Psi_n(j)\left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{1}{j}$$

it follows

$$\mathbb{E}(W_{j,n}) + \mathbb{P}(J_n + K_n = j+1) = \theta\left[\frac{1}{j} - \Psi_n(j)\left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right].$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}(W_{j,n})+\mathbb{P}(J_n+K_n=j+1) &= \theta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{j}-\theta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\Psi_n(j)}{j}+\frac{\theta}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\Psi_n(j)\\ &= \theta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{j}-\theta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\theta+j-1}\ +\ 1\\ &= \theta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\theta-1}{j(\theta+j-1)}\ +\ 1\end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 11 for the second equality. We deduce

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}|a_{n,j}-W_j|\right)\leq 2+\theta(\theta-1)\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{j(\theta+j-1)}.$$

Finally, it is easy to go further and get the desired explicit boundary from the last inequality, by just noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}(\theta-1)\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{j(\theta+j-1)} &= \frac{\theta-1}{\theta}+(\theta-1)\sum_{j=2}^{n}\frac{1}{j(\theta+j-1)}\\ &\leq \frac{\theta-1}{\theta}+\theta\sum_{j=2}^{n}\frac{1}{j(j-1)}\\ &\leq \frac{(\theta-1)}{\theta}+\theta\end{aligned}$$

and thus for all $n\geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}|a_{n,j}-W_j|\right)\leq 1+\theta+\theta^2.$$

□

We end preliminaries with two lemmas which will be useful to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 14. *For all $n\geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{1\leq j,k\leq n}\frac{1}{jk}\left(\Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k)-\Psi_n(j+k)\mathbb{1}_{j+k\leq n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(\theta+k)^2}.$$

Proof. Denoting $K_n:=\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{n,j}$ the total number of cycles, we first notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Var}(K_n) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathrm{Var}(a_{n,j})+\sum_{\substack{1\leq j,k\leq n\\ j\neq k}}\mathrm{Cov}(a_{n,j},a_{n,k})\\ &= \sum_{1\leq j,k\leq n}\Psi_n(j+k)\mathbb{1}_{j+k\leq n}\frac{\theta^2}{jk}+\mathbb{E}(K_n)-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\theta}{j}\Psi_n(j)\right)^2-\sum_{\substack{1\leq j,k\leq n\\ j\neq k}}\frac{\theta}{j}\Psi_n(j)\frac{\theta}{k}\Psi_n(k)\\ &= \mathbb{E}(K_n)+\theta^2\sum_{1\leq j,k\leq n}\frac{1}{jk}\left(\Psi_n(j+k)\mathbb{1}_{j+k\leq n}-\Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the Feller Coupling provides the nice expression $K_n=\xi_1+\cdots+\xi_n$, where we recall that the ξ_k are independent random variables of Bernoulli with parameter $\frac{\theta}{\theta+k-1}$. From this expression of K_n it

follows $\mathbb{E}(K_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\theta}{\theta+k}$ and $\mathrm{Var}(K_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\theta k}{(\theta+k)^2}$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}(K_n) - \mathrm{Var}(K_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta+k} - \frac{\theta k}{(\theta+k)^2} \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\theta^2}{(\theta+k)^2},$$

which gives the announced equality. □

Lemma 15. *For all $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} \frac{1}{jk} \left| \Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k) - \Psi_n(j+k)\mathbb{1}_{j+k \leq n} \right| = \mathcal{O}_\theta(1).$$

Proof. Discussing the sign of terms inside absolute values according to θ, and using the previous lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq n} \frac{1}{jk} \left| \Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k) - \Psi_n(j+k)\mathbb{1}_{j+k \leq n} \right| &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j,k \leq n \\ j+k \leq n}} \frac{1}{jk} \left(\Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k) - \Psi_n(j+k)\mathbb{1}_{j+k \leq n} \right) \left(\mathbb{1}_{\theta \geq 1} - \mathbb{1}_{\theta < 1} \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j,k \leq n \\ j+k > n}} \frac{1}{jk} \Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k) \left(\mathbb{1}_{\theta \geq 1} + \mathbb{1}_{\theta < 1} \right) \\
&= \left(\mathbb{1}_{\theta \geq 1} - \mathbb{1}_{\theta < 1} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(\theta+k)^2} + 2\mathbb{1}_{\theta < 1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j,k \leq n \\ j+k > n}} \frac{1}{jk} \Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k) \\
&= \mathcal{O}_\theta(1) + 2\mathbb{1}_{\theta < 1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j,k \leq n \\ j+k > n}} \frac{1}{jk} \Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume $\theta < 1$. It remains to show $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j,k \leq n \\ j+k > n}} \frac{1}{jk} \Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k) = \mathcal{O}_\theta(1)$.

To do this, we split this sum as the one for j and k between $\frac{n}{10}$ and n, plus the one for j or k between 1 and $\frac{n}{10}$. Based on the observation that there exists a constant C_θ such that for all n and $j \leq n$, $\Psi_n(j) \leq C_\theta \left(\frac{n}{n-j} \right)^{1-\theta}$, with the convention $\frac{1}{0^{1-\theta}} = 1$, it comes

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\frac{n}{10} \leq j,k \leq n \\ j+k > n}} \frac{1}{jk} \Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k) &\ll_\theta n^{-2\theta} \sum_{\frac{n}{10} \leq j,k \leq n} \left(\frac{1}{n-j} \right)^{1-\theta} \left(\frac{1}{n-k} \right)^{1-\theta} \\
&= n^{-2\theta} \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{9n}{10}} j^{-(1-\theta)} \right)^2 \ll_\theta 1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{10} \\ j+k > n}} \frac{1}{jk} \Psi_n(j)\Psi_n(k) &\ll_\theta n^{-\theta} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{10}} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=n-j+1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{n-k} \right)^{1-\theta} \\
&\ll_\theta n^{-\theta} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{10}} \frac{1}{j} j^\theta \ll_\theta 1,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the claim. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1

3.1 Mean and variance

3.1.1 Symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$

In order to compare both ensembles with each other, we recall here some known results on the counting function of eigenvalues for $\mathfrak{S}_N$ that one can find for example in [14] (for the case $\theta = 1$) or in [1]:

Proposition 16. *For all $N \geq 1$, denoting $\omega_j := (\{j\beta\} - \{j\alpha\})$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(X_N^I) = N(\beta - \alpha) - \theta \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\omega_j}{j} \Psi_N(j)$$

$$\mathrm{Var}(X_N^I) = \theta \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\omega_j^2}{j} \Psi_N(j) + \theta^2 \sum_{1 \leq j,k \leq N} \frac{\omega_j \omega_k}{jk} (\Psi_N(j+k) \mathbb{1}_{j+k \leq N} - \Psi_N(j)\Psi_N(k)).$$

Proposition 17. *There exists a real number $c_1 = c_1(\alpha, \beta)$ and a positive real number $c_2 = c_2(\alpha, \beta)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}(X_N^I) \underset{N \to \infty}{=} N(\beta - \alpha) + c_1 \theta \log N + o(\log N)$$

$$\mathrm{Var}(X_N^I) \underset{N \to \infty}{\sim} c_2 \theta \log N.$$

We give two significant examples of values taken by c_2:

- If α and β are irrational and linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $c_2 = \frac{1}{6}$.
- If β is irrational and $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$ with p, q coprime numbers, then $c_2 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6q^2}$.

Details for the computation of the coefficient c_2 and more examples are given in [14]. We complete its study in our Appendix.

3.1.2 Wreath product $S^1 \wr \mathfrak{S}_N$

To begin with, we give a simple expression of $\widetilde{X}_N^I$ in function of the random variables $(a_{N,j})_{1 \leq j \leq N}$ and $(T_{j,p})$, where the law of $T_{j,p}$ is the multiplicative convolution of j independent copies of the uniform distribution on S^1, *i.e* the uniform distribution on S^1 itself, and where we recall that $a_{N,j}$ denotes the number of j-cycles in σ_N. We have the following equalities in distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{X}_N^I &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{a_{N,j}} \sum_{w^j = T_{j,p}} \mathbb{1}_{w \in I} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{a_{N,j}} \sum_{w^j = \mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\phi_{j,p}}} \mathbb{1}_{w \in I} \end{aligned}$$

where the $(\phi_{j,p})$ are i.i.d random variables, uniformly distributed on $[0, 1)$.
Recalling that $I = \left(\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\alpha}, \mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\beta}\right]$, this can be reformulated this way:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{X}_N^I &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{a_{N,j}} \left(j(\beta - \alpha) - \{j\beta - \phi_{j,p}\} + \{j\alpha - \phi_{j,p}\}\right) \\ &= (\beta - \alpha) \sum_{j=1}^{N} j a_{N,j} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{a_{N,j}} \left(\{j\beta\} - \{j\alpha\} + \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p} > \{j\beta\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p} > \{j\alpha\}}\right) \\ &= N(\beta - \alpha) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{N,j} \left(\{j\beta\} - \{j\alpha\}\right) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{p=1}^{a_{N,j}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p} > \{j\beta\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p} > \{j\alpha\}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. It can be noticed that in contrast to the classical ensemble of permutation matrices, if we include the lower endpoint and/or exclude the upper endpoint of the interval, then almost surely the value of the counting function on this interval remains the same.

Proposition 18. *For all $N \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_N^I) = N(\beta - \alpha)$$

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^I) = \theta \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\Psi_N(j)}{j} \{j(\beta-\alpha)\} \left(1 - \{j(\beta-\alpha)\}\right).$$

Remark. For the classical ensemble of permutation matrices, we had a expectation which weakly depended (additional term in $\log N$) on the arithmetic nature of the endpoints of the interval. This is not the case here, and this phenomenon can be well understood if we have in mind that the modification operates uniform random shifts on the sets of eigenangles corresponding to each cycle. Regarding the variance, the effect of endpoints does not vanish since we still have some fractional parts in its expression. More specifically, we have an effect induced by the difference of the endpoints.

Proof. First of all we consider the conditional expectation with respect to the random permutation σ_N. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{X}_N^I \mid \sigma_N] = N(\beta-\alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{p=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}[b_{j,p} \mathbb{1}_{a_{N,j} \geq p} \mid \sigma_N]$$

where $b_{j,p} := \{j\alpha\} - \{j\beta\} + \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p} > \{j\alpha\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p} > \{j\beta\}}$.
Since the information of σ_N provides all the information of its cycle structure (*i.e* the numbers of its cycles of the same sizes), it follows that for all j, p, $\mathbb{E}[b_{j,p}\mathbb{1}_{a_{N,j}\geq p} \mid \sigma_N] = \mathbb{1}_{a_{N,j}\geq p}\mathbb{E}[b_{j,p} \mid \sigma_N]$. Moreover the $b_{j,p}$ are independent of σ_N, hence $\mathbb{E}[b_{j,p} \mid \sigma_N] = \mathbb{E}(b_{j,p}) = \{j\alpha\} - \{j\beta\} + \mathbb{P}(\phi_{j,p} > \{j\beta\}) - \mathbb{P}(\phi_{j,p} > \{j\alpha\}) = 0$. Consequently all the terms in the double series are zeros. Finally,

$$\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_N^I) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{X}_N^I \mid \sigma_N]] = \mathbb{E}[N(\beta-\alpha)] = N(\beta-\alpha).$$

The computation of the variance is a little longer. Using the fact that the $b_{j,p}$ are centred and independent,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^I) &= \mathbb{E}((\widetilde{X}_N^I - \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_N^I))^2) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{p=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}(b_{j,p}\mathbb{1}_{a_{N,j}\geq p})\right]^2\right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{p=1}^{a_{N,j}} b_{j,p}\right)^2\right) + 2 \sum_{1\leq j<k\leq N} \sum_{p=1}^{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}(b_{j,p}b_{k,m}\mathbb{1}_{a_{N,j}\geq p}\mathbb{1}_{a_{N,k}\geq m}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}(b_{j,p}^2\mathbb{1}_{a_{N,j}\geq p}) + 2\sum_{1\leq m<p\leq N} \mathbb{E}(b_{j,p}b_{j,m}\mathbb{1}_{a_{N,j}\geq p}\mathbb{1}_{a_{N,j}\geq m})\right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{p=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}(b_{j,p}^2)\mathbb{P}(a_{N,j}\geq p)
\end{aligned}$$

with for all j, p,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(b_{j,p}^2) &= \mathrm{Var}(\mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\alpha\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\beta\}}) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\alpha\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\beta\}} - 2\mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\alpha\}}\mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\beta\}}\right) - (\{j\beta\}-\{j\alpha\})^2 \\
&= 2 - \{j\alpha\} - \{j\beta\} - 2\mathbb{P}(\phi_{j,p} > \max(\{j\alpha\},\{j\beta\})) - (\{j\beta\}-\{j\alpha\})^2 \\
&= |\{j\alpha\} - \{j\beta\}| - (\{j\alpha\}-\{j\beta\})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^I) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[|\{j\alpha\}-\{j\beta\}|-(\{j\alpha\}-\{j\beta\})^2\right]\sum_{p=1}^{N}\mathbb{P}(a_{N,j}\geq p)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}(a_{N,j})\left[|\{j\alpha\}-\{j\beta\}|-(\{j\alpha\}-\{j\beta\})^2\right]\end{aligned}$$

and we know for a fact (see [2]) that for all $1\leq j\leq N$,

$$\mathbb{E}[a_{N,j}] = \frac{\theta}{j}\cdot\frac{N(N-1)\cdots(N-j+1)}{(\theta+N-1)\cdots(\theta+N-j)} = \frac{\theta}{j}\Psi_N(j).$$

It remains to see that for all j, $|\{j\alpha\}-\{j\beta\}|-(\{j\alpha\}-\{j\beta\})^2 = \{j(\beta-\alpha)\}(1-\{j(\beta-\alpha)\})$. Indeed, it derives from the next lemma:

Lemma 19. *Let x, y be real numbers. Then for all $T\in\mathbb{R}$,*

$$|\{x+T\}-\{y+T\}|\,(1-|\{x+T\}-\{y+T\}|) = |\{x\}-\{y\}|\,(1-|\{x\}-\{y\}|).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can suppose $T\in[0,1)$ (since $\{x+T\}=\{x+\{T\}\}$). We notice first that

$$\{x+T\}-\{y+T\} = \{x\}-\{y\}+\mathbb{1}_{\{y\}>1-T}-\mathbb{1}_{\{x\}>1-T}.$$

By discussing the relative positions of $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ with respect to $1-T$, the difference of indicator functions $\mathbb{1}_{\{y\}>1-T}-\mathbb{1}_{\{x\}>1-T}$ takes the value $-1, 0$ or 1. Thus it is easy to check that in all cases the equality holds. □

Taking $x=j\beta$ and $y=-T=j\alpha$ for $j\in\mathbb{N}^*$, the lemma gives the desired equality. □

The next proposition is conform to the intuition one could have as regards with the asymptotic of the variance. We make use of what we set up in preliminaries to prove it.

Proposition 20.

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^I) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \ell\theta\log N$$

where

$$\ell := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } \beta-\alpha \text{ is irrationnal}\\ \frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{6q^2} & \text{if } \beta-\alpha=\frac{p}{q} \text{ with } p,q \text{ coprime integers}, q\geq 2.\end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us define $\delta=\beta-\alpha$ and $w_j=\{j\delta\}(1-\{j\delta\})$, $j\in\mathbb{N}^*$, in such a way that

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^I) = \theta\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Psi_N(j)}{j}w_j.$$

First, we notice that the sequence (w_j) is non-negative and bounded (by 1). Moreover, it is proven in [14] that the limits $\ell_1 := \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\{j\delta\}$ and $\ell_2 := \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\{j\delta\}^2$ exist and are finite. Their respective explicit values depend on whether δ is rational or irrational. Thus, the sequence (w_j) converges $(C,1)$ to $\ell := \ell_1-\ell_2 > 0$. Consequently, we can apply Proposition 9 on $w=(w_j)$ so that $\sigma_N^\theta(w)\underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\ell$.

Finally, since $s_N := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Psi_N(j)w_j \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{\sigma_N^\theta(w)}{\theta}$, then $s_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\ell}{\theta} =: L > 0$. We deduce from Proposition 10 that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Psi_N(j)}{j}w_j \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} L\theta\log N = \ell\log N$$

i.e

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^I) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \ell\theta\log N.$$

The computation of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 is detailed in [14]. □

3.2 Limiting normality for a finite number of fixed arcs

We consider a finite number of fixed arcs $I_1, \cdots, I_m$ on the unit circle, where $I_k := \left(e^{2i\pi\alpha_k}, e^{2i\pi\beta_k}\right]$. For $k \in [\![1, m]\!]$, we denote by $c_2^{(k)}$ and $\ell^{(k)}$ the respective constant numbers appearing in the asymptotic expressions of the variances of $X_N^{I_k}$ and $\widetilde{X}_N^{I_k}$.
In order to simplify notations, we also define for $k, l \in [\![1, m]\!]$,

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{j,k} := \{j\beta_k\} - \{j\alpha_k\} \\ H_{j,k,l} := \dfrac{1}{2}\left(|\{j\beta_k\} - \{j\alpha_l\}| + |\{j\alpha_k\} - \{j\beta_l\}| - |\{j\alpha_k\} - \{j\alpha_l\}| - |\{j\beta_k\} - \{j\beta_l\}|\right) - \omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 21. *The sequences $(H_{j,k,l})_{j\geq 1}$ and $(\omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l})_{j\geq 1}$ converge $(C, 1)$.*

Proof. One can notice that $H_{j,k,l} = \dfrac{1}{2}(h_j(\alpha_k - \beta_l) + h_j(\beta_k - \alpha_l) - h_j(\alpha_k - \alpha_l) - h_j(\beta_k - \beta_l))$ where $h_j(x) := \{jx\}(1 - \{jx\})$. Moreover, it is clear that for all fixed real numbers x,

- if $x = \dfrac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$, the sequence $(\{jx\})_{j\geq 1}$ is q-periodic.
- if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, the sequence $(\{jx\})_{j\geq 1}$ is equidistributed on $[0, 1]$.

Now, if a sequence is periodic then this sequence converges $(C, 1)$. Furthermore, if a sequence is equidistributed on $[0, 1]$ then all continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ applied to it converge $(C, 1)$ to the integral of these functions on $[0, 1]$.
Thus, for all $x = \alpha_k - \beta_l, \beta_k - \alpha_l, \alpha_k - \alpha_l, \beta_k - \beta_l$, the sequence $(h_j(x))_{j\geq 1}$ converges $(C, 1)$.
For $\omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l}$ it is quite more difficult since we have to deal with some products of type $\{jx\}\{jy\}$ for x, y real numbers. Discussing the rationality of x and y and eventually their linearly dependence over $\mathbb{Q}$ in the case where they are both irrational, it can be shown that $(\{jx\}\{jy\})_{j\geq 1}$ converges $(C, 1)$ to explicit limits, and thus $(\omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l})_{j\geq 1}$ converges $(C, 1)$. See [14] and our Appendix for details. □

Theorem 22. *Let $Y_N^{I_k} := \dfrac{X_N^{I_k} - \mathbb{E}(X_N^{I_k})}{(c_2^{(k)}\theta \log N)^{1/2}}$, and let $Z = (Z_1, \cdots, Z_m) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, D)$ where D is the covariance matrix defined for all k, l by $D_{k,l} := \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{c_2^{(k)} c_2^{(l)}}} \lim\limits_{N\to+\infty} \dfrac{1}{N} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{N} \omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l}$. Then*

$$(Y_N^{I_1}, \cdots, Y_N^{I_m}) \xrightarrow{d} (Z_1, \cdots, Z_m).$$

Proof. Let $t := (t_1, \cdots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. The theorem will be proven if we show that $t_1 Y_N^{I_1} + \cdots + t_m Y_N^{I_m} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} t_1 Z_1 + \cdots + t_m Z_m$. The main idea of the proof is to replace in the expression $t_1 Y_N^{I_1} + \cdots + t_m Y_N^{I_m}$ the $a_{N,j}$ by independent Poisson random variables W_j given by the Feller Coupling, show that the difference converges in probability to zero, and then use the new expression to show the convergence in distribution, and finally conclude with Slutsky's theorem. Note that this scheme of proof is very typical when one deals with random permutations, since the approximation of cycle counts by Poisson random variables is natural.
Let

$$V_{N,j}^{(t)} := \left(\frac{\theta}{j} - W_j\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{t_k}{(\theta c_2^{(k)} \log N)^{1/2}} \omega_{j,k}.$$

Since the W_j are independent, then $(V_{N,j}^{(t)})_{N\geq 1, 1\leq j\leq N}$ is a triangular array of independent random variables. Let $T_N^{(t)} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{N,j}^{(t)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 Y_N^{I_1} + \cdots + t_m Y_N^{I_m} - T_N^{(t)} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{t_k}{(\theta c_2^{(k)} \log N)^{1/2}} \left[X_N^{I_k} - \mathbb{E}(X_N^{I_k}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\theta}{j} - W_j\right) \omega_{j,k} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{t_k}{(\theta c_2^{(k)} \log N)^{1/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\theta}{j} \Psi_N(j) - \frac{\theta}{j} + W_j - a_{N,j} \right] \omega_{j,k}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$|t_1 Y_N^{I_1} + \cdots + t_m Y_N^{I_m} - T_N^{(t)}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{|t_k|}{(\theta c_2^{(k)} \log N)^{1/2}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\theta}{j} |\Psi_N(j) - 1| + \sum_{j=1}^{N} |W_j - a_{N,j}| \right]$$

with for all j, $|\Psi_N(j) - 1| = (\Psi_N(j) - 1)(\mathbb{1}_{0<\theta<1} - \mathbb{1}_{\theta \geq 1})$, thus using Lemma 11,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\theta}{j} |\Psi_N(j) - 1| = \theta |1 - \theta| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{j(\theta + j - 1)} = \mathcal{O}_\theta(1).$$

In addition, by Lemma 13, $\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} |W_j - a_{N,j}|\right) = \mathcal{O}_\theta(1)$.
Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, using Markov's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}(|t_1 Y_N^{I_1} + \cdots + t_m Y_N^{I_m} - T_N^{(t)}| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon (\log N)^{1/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{|t_k|}{(\theta c_2^{(k)})^{1/2}} \mathcal{O}_\theta(1) \underset{N \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Now, we want to show that $T_N^{(t)}$ is asymptotically normal. For this purpose, we check the condition of Lindeberg-Feller on $(V_{N,j}^{(t)})$. By noticing that

$$|V_{N,j}^{(t)}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{|t_k|}{(\theta c_2^{(k)} \log N)^{1/2}} \left| W_j - \frac{\theta}{j} \right| \leq \frac{C}{(\log N)^{1/2}} \left| W_j - \frac{\theta}{j} \right|$$

where $C := \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{|t_k|}{(\theta c_2^{(k)})^{1/2}}$, we deduce that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(V_{N,j}^{(t)} \right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{|V_{N,j}^{(t)}| > \varepsilon} \right) \leq \frac{C^2}{\log N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(W_j - \frac{\theta}{j} \right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{|W_j - \frac{\theta}{j}| > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} \right).$$

From this, we observe that for all $j \geq \lceil \theta \rceil$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(W_j - \frac{\theta}{j} \right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{|W_j - \frac{\theta}{j}| > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} \right) &= \frac{\theta^2}{j^2} \mathbb{1}_{\frac{\theta}{j} > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta/j} + \left(1 - \frac{\theta}{j} \right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{|1 - \frac{\theta}{j}| > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} \frac{\theta}{j} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta/j} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \left(k - \frac{\theta}{j} \right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{|k - \frac{\theta}{j}| > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} \frac{\theta^k}{j^k k!} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta/j} \\
&\leq \frac{\theta^2}{j^2} + \frac{\theta}{j} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta/j} \mathbb{1}_{1 > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} k^2 \frac{\theta^k}{j^k k!} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta/j} \\
&\leq \frac{\theta^2}{j^2} + \mathbb{1}_{1 > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} 2k(k-1) \frac{\theta^k}{j^k k!} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta/j} \\
&= \frac{3\theta^2}{j^2} + \mathbb{1}_{1 > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}},
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(W_j - \frac{\theta}{j} \right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{|W_j - \frac{\theta}{j}| > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} \right) \leq N \mathbb{1}_{1 > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}_\theta(1)$$

with $\mathbb{1}_{1 > \frac{\varepsilon}{C} (\log N)^{1/2}} = 0$ for N large enough, and thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(V_{N,j}^{(t)} \right)^2 \mathbb{1}_{|V_{N,j}^{(t)}| > \varepsilon} \right) \underset{N \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Consequently the Lindeberg-Feller theorem applies and gives

$$T_N^{(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^2 &:= \lim_{N\to+\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left(\left(V_{N,j}^{(t)}\right)^2\right)\\ &= \lim_{N\to+\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{k,l=1}^{m}\frac{t_k t_l}{\theta(c_2^{(k)}c_2^{(l)})^{1/2}\log N}\omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l}\mathrm{Var}(W_j)\\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^{m}\frac{t_k t_l}{(c_2^{(k)}c_2^{(l)})^{1/2}}\lim_{N\to+\infty}\frac{1}{\log N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l}}{j}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since for all k,l, $(\omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l})_{j\geq 1}$ is bounded and converges $(C,1)$ (Lemma 21), then it follows from Proposition 10 (taking $\theta=1$) that

$$\sigma^2 = \lim_{N\to+\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{k,l=1}^{m}\frac{t_k t_l}{(c_2^{(k)}c_2^{(l)})^{1/2}}\omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l}.$$

Slutsky's theorem ends the proof. □

Theorem 23. *Let* $\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_k} := \frac{\widetilde{X}_N^{I_k}-\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_k})}{(\ell^{(k)}\theta\log N)^{1/2}}$, *and let* $\widetilde{Z}=(\widetilde{Z}_1,\cdots,\widetilde{Z}_m)\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\widetilde{D})$ *where* $\widetilde{D}$ *is the covariance matrix defined for all* k,l *by* $\widetilde{D}_{k,l} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell^{(k)}\ell^{(l)}}}\lim_{N\to+\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}H_{j,k,l}$. *Then*

$$(\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_1},\cdots,\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_m}) \xrightarrow{d} (\widetilde{Z}_1,\cdots,\widetilde{Z}_m).$$

Proof. Let $t:=(t_1,\cdots,t_m)\in\mathbb{R}^m$. Again, it suffices to show that $t_1\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_1}+\cdots+t_m\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_m}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} t_1\widetilde{Z}_1+\cdots+t_m\widetilde{Z}_m$. In order to shorten the following expressions, let us define for $j,p\in\mathbb{N}^*$ and $k\in[\![1,m]\!]$,

$$b_{j,p,k} := \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\alpha_k\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\beta_k\}} - \omega_{j,k}$$

and

$$B_{j,k} := \sum_{p=1}^{W_j} b_{j,p,k}$$

where we recall that the W_j denote the independent Poisson random variables of parameters θ/j we get by Feller Coupling, and where the $\phi_{j,p}$ are uniformly distributed independent random variables on $[0,1)$. Let

$$V_{N,j}^{(t)} := \sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{t_k}{(\theta\ell^{(k)}\log N)^{1/2}}B_{j,k}.$$

Since the W_j and the $\phi_{j,p}$ are independent, then $(V_{N,j}^{(t)})_{N\geq 1,1\leq j\leq N}$ is a triangular array of independent random variables. Let $T_N^{(t)} := \sum_{j=1}^{N}V_{N,j}^{(t)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}t_1\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_1}+\cdots+t_m\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_m}-T_N^{(t)} &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{t_k}{(\theta\ell^{(k)}\log N)^{1/2}}\left[\widetilde{X}_N^{I_k}-\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_k})-\sum_{j=1}^{N}B_{j,k}\right]\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{t_k}{(\theta\ell^{(k)}\log N)^{1/2}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{a_{N,j}}b_{j,p,k}-B_{j,k}\right].\end{aligned}$$

This quantity converges in probability to 0. Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Using Markov's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(|t_1\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_1} + \cdots + t_m\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_m} - T_N^{(t)}| > \varepsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathbb{E}(|t_1\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_1} + \cdots + t_m\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_m} - T_N^{(t)}|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\log N)^{1/2}}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{|t_k|}{\varepsilon(\theta\ell^{(k)})^{1/2}}\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|\sum_{p=1}^{a_{N,j}} b_{j,p,k} - B_{j,k}\right|\right),\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|\sum_{p=1}^{a_{N,j}} b_{j,p,k} - B_{j,k}\right|\right) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{p=1}^{|a_{N,j}-W_j|}|b_{j,p,k}|\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}|a_{N,j} - W_j|\right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_\theta(1) \qquad \text{applying Lemma 13.}\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(|t_1\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_1} + \cdots + t_m\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_m} - T_N^{(t)}| > \varepsilon) \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Now, we want to show that $T_N^{(t)}$ is asymptotically normal. For this purpose, we check the condition of Lindeberg-Feller on $(V_{N,j}^{(t)})$. Noticing that

$$|V_{N,j}^{(t)}| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{|t_k|}{(\theta\ell^{(k)}\log N)^{1/2}}|B_{j,k}| \leq \frac{C}{(\log N)^{1/2}}W_j$$

where $C := \sum_{k=1}^{m}\frac{|t_k|}{(\theta\ell^{(k)})^{1/2}}$, we deduce that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left(\left(V_{N,j}^{(t)}\right)^2\mathbb{1}_{|V_{N,j}^{(t)}|>\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{C^2}{\log N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left(W_j^2\mathbb{1}_{W_j > \frac{\varepsilon}{C}(\log N)^{1/2}}\right).$$

From this, we observe that for all j,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(W_j^2\mathbb{1}_{W_j > \frac{\varepsilon}{C}(\log N)^{1/2}}\right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}k^2\mathbb{1}_{k > \frac{\varepsilon}{C}(\log N)^{1/2}}\mathbb{P}(W_j = k) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{j}\mathrm{e}^{-\theta/j}\mathbb{1}_{1 > \frac{\varepsilon}{C}(\log N)^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}_\theta\left(\frac{1}{j^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left(W_j^2\mathbb{1}_{W_j > \frac{\varepsilon}{C}(\log N)^{1/2}}\right) \leq \theta\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{j}\right)\mathbb{1}_{1 > \frac{\varepsilon}{C}(\log N)^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}_\theta(1)$$

with $\mathbb{1}_{1 > \frac{\varepsilon}{C}(\log N)^{1/2}} = 0$ for N large enough, and thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left(\left(V_{N,j}^{(t)}\right)^2\mathbb{1}_{|V_{N,j}^{(t)}|>\varepsilon}\right) \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Consequently the Lindeberg-Feller theorem applies and gives

$$T_N^{(t)} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

where

$$\sigma^2 := \lim_{N\to+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(V_{N,j}^{(t)}\right)^2\right)$$
$$= \lim_{N\to+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{k,l=1}^{m} \frac{t_k t_l}{\theta(\ell^{(k)}\ell^{(l)})^{1/2}\log N}\mathbb{E}(B_{j,k}B_{j,l})$$

with for all j,k,l,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(B_{j,k}B_{j,l}) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{p,q=1}^{W_j} b_{j,p,k}b_{j,q,l}\right)\\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(b_{j,p,k}b_{j,p,l}\right)\mathbb{P}(W_j\geq p) + 2\sum_{\substack{p,q=1\\p<q}}^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}(b_{j,p,k})\mathbb{E}(b_{j,q,l})\mathbb{P}(W_j\geq q)\\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty}\left[\mathbb{E}((\mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\alpha_k\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\beta_k\}})(\mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\alpha_l\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p}>\{j\beta_l\}})) - \omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l}\right]\mathbb{P}(W_j\geq p)\\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{+\infty}\mathbb{P}(W_j\geq p)\left[\int_0^1 (\mathbb{1}_{x>\{j\alpha_k\}} - \mathbb{1}_{x>\{j\beta_k\}})(\mathbb{1}_{x>\{j\alpha_l\}} - \mathbb{1}_{x>\{j\beta_l\}})\mathrm{d}x - \omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l}\right]\\
&= \frac{\theta}{j}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(|\{j\beta_k\}-\{j\alpha_l\}| + |\{j\alpha_k\}-\{j\beta_l\}| - |\{j\alpha_k\}-\{j\alpha_l\}| - |\{j\beta_k\}-\{j\beta_l\}|\right) - \omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l}\right]\\
&= \frac{\theta}{j}H_{j,k,l}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since for all k,l, the sequence $(H_{j,k,l})_{j\geq 1}$ is bounded (by 1) and converges $(C,1)$ to a finite limit (Lemma 21), then it follows from Proposition 10 (taking $\theta = 1$) that

$$\sigma^2 = \lim_{N\to+\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{k,l=1}^{m}\frac{t_k t_l}{(\ell^{(k)}\ell^{(l)})^{1/2}}H_{j,k,l}.$$

Slutsky's theorem ends the proof. □

Now, we can give a significant particular case of the two previous theorems:

Corollary 24. *With the same notation, let assume the α_k and the β_k, $1\leq k\leq m$, to be irrational numbers which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then D and $\widetilde{D}$ are the identity matrix.*

Indeed, this corollary is a significant version since the additional condition is almost surely satisfied if the endpoints of the intervals are uniformly sampled on the unit circle.

Proof. Let $k,l\in[\![1,m]\!]$. Under the assumption, it suffices to notice that

- If $k\neq l$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l} = \int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 (x-y)(z-t)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}t = 0,$$

  and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}H_{j,k,l} = 0$$

  thus $D_{k,l}=0$ and $\widetilde{D}_{k,l}=0$.

- If $k = l$,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} H_{j,k,l} + \omega_{j,k}\omega_{j,l} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} |\omega_{j,k}| = \int_0^1\int_0^1 |x-y|\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{3}$$

and

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \omega_{j,k}^2 = \int_0^1\int_0^1 (x-y)^2\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{6},$$

thus $D_{k,l} = 6\times\frac{1}{6} = 1$ and $\widetilde{D}_{k,l} = 6\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{6}\right) = 1$.

□

4 Proof of Theorem 2

4.1 Variance

Here, we assume that the interval I shrinks as N tends to infinity. For $N \geq 1$ we define $I_N := \left(\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\alpha_N}, \mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\beta_N}\right]$, and $\delta_N := \beta_N - \alpha_N \in (0,1]$.

Proposition 25. *Suppose that the sequence (δ_N) satisfies* $\begin{cases} \delta_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \\ N\delta_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty. \end{cases}$ *Then*

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_N}) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N).$$

Proof. First, with respect to what we have stated before,

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_N}) = \theta\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\Psi_N(j)\chi_N(j)}{j}$$

where $\chi_N(j) := \{j\delta_N\}(1-\{j\delta_N\})$. We are going to study the particular case $\theta = 1$, then we will see that the general case $\theta > 0$ can be quickly deduced from it.

<u>Particular case $\theta = 1$</u>:

In the following, we denote by $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ the ceiling function, which can be defined by $\lceil x\rceil = x + \{-x\}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
Since the function $t \mapsto \{t\}$ is of period 1, then it goes without saying that $t \mapsto \chi_N(t)$ is periodic of period $1/\delta_N$. Thus, an idea may be to group the terms in the sum according to $1/\delta_N$. We begin with separating the points of the first period from those of the others:

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_N,\theta=1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\chi_N(j)}{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil-1}\frac{\chi_N(j)}{j} + \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N}\frac{\chi_N(j)}{j}$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil-1}\frac{\chi_N(j)}{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil-1}\frac{1}{j}j\delta_N(1-j\delta_N) = \delta_N\sum_{j=1}^{\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil-1}(1-j\delta_N) \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_0^1(1-x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2}$.
We apply a summation by parts on the second sum (Abel transformation):

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N}\frac{\chi_N(j)}{j} &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N}\chi_N(j) - \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N-1}\left(\frac{1}{j+1}-\frac{1}{j}\right)\sum_{k=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{j}\chi_N(k)\\ &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N}\chi_N(j) + \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N-1}\frac{1}{j+1}\frac{1}{j}\sum_{k=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{j}\chi_N(k)\end{aligned}$$

Let $j \geq \lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil$, and define

$$A_j := \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1} \sum_{k=\lceil p/\delta_N \rceil}^{\lceil (p+1)/\delta_N \rceil - 1} \chi_N(k), \qquad B_j := \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=\lceil \lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor / \delta_N \rceil}^{j} \chi_N(k)$$

in such a way that $A_j + B_j = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{j} \chi_N(k)$. On the one hand, we observe that

$$|B_j| \leq \frac{1}{j} \left(j - \lceil \lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor / \delta_N \rceil + 1 \right) \leq \frac{1 + 1/\delta_N}{j}$$

so

$$\left| \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{B_j}{j+1} \right| \leq (1 + 1/\delta_N) \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{1}{j(j+1)} = (1 + 1/\delta_N) \left(\frac{1}{\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil} - \frac{1}{N} \right) = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

On the other hand,

$$A_j = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lceil (p+1)/\delta_N \rceil - 1 - \lceil p/\delta_N \rceil} \chi_N(q + \lceil p/\delta_N \rceil)$$

where

$$\lceil (p+1)/\delta_N \rceil - 1 - \lceil p/\delta_N \rceil = 1/\delta_N + \{-(p+1)/\delta_N\} - \{-p/\delta_N\} - 1 = \lfloor 1/\delta_N \rfloor - \mathbb{1}_{\{-p/\delta_N\} \geq \{-(p+1)/\delta_N\}}$$

and

$$\chi_N(q + \lceil p/\delta_N \rceil) = \chi_N(q + \{-p/\delta_N\}).$$

Since for all $q \in [\![0, \lceil (p+1)/\delta_N \rceil - 1 - \lceil p/\delta_N \rceil]\!]$,

$$0 \leq \delta_N(q + \{-p/\delta_N\}) \leq \delta_N(1/\delta_N + \{-(p+1)/\delta_N\} - 1) < 1$$

then $\{\delta_N(q + \{-p/\delta_N\})\} = \delta_N(q + \{-p/\delta_N\})$, and

$$A_j = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_N \rfloor - 1 - \mathbb{1}_{\{-p/\delta_N\} \geq \{-(p+1)/\delta_N\}}} \delta_N(q + \{-p/\delta_N\})(1 - \delta_N(q + \{-p/\delta_N\})).$$

Let us introduce

$$\widetilde{A}_j := \frac{1}{j} \left(\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1 \right) \sum_{q=1}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_N \rfloor} q\delta_N(1 - q\delta_N).$$

We have for all $j \geq \lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_j - \widetilde{A}_j| &= \Bigg| \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_N \rfloor - 1 - \mathbb{1}_{\{-p/\delta_N\} \geq \{-(p+1)/\delta_N\}}} \delta_N \{-p/\delta_N\} \left(1 - 2q\delta_N - \{-p/\delta_N\}\delta_N\right) \\
&\qquad - \mathbb{1}_{\{-p/\delta_N\} \geq \{-(p+1)/\delta_N\}} \delta_N \lfloor 1/\delta_N \rfloor (1 - \delta_N \lfloor 1/\delta_N \rfloor) \Bigg| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_N \rfloor - 1 - \mathbb{1}_{\{-p/\delta_N\} \geq \{-(p+1)/\delta_N\}}} \delta_N \{-p/\delta_N\} \left|1 - 2q\delta_N - \{-p/\delta_N\}\delta_N\right| \\
&\qquad + \mathbb{1}_{\{-p/\delta_N\} \geq \{-(p+1)/\delta_N\}} \delta_N \lfloor 1/\delta_N \rfloor (1 - \delta_N \lfloor 1/\delta_N \rfloor) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{j} \left(\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1 \right) \left[2\delta_N(1 + 1/\delta_N) + \delta_N \right] \leq 2\delta_N^2(1/\delta_N + 2)
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\left| \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{A_j}{j+1} - \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_j}{j+1} \right| \leq 2\delta_N^2(1/\delta_N + 2) \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{1}{j+1} \underset{N \to \infty}{\sim} 2\delta_N \log(N\delta_N) = o(\log(N\delta_N)).$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N-1}\frac{\widetilde{A}_j}{j+1}=\delta_N\sum_{q=1}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_N\rfloor}q\delta_N\left(1-q\delta_N\right)\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N-1}\left(\frac{1}{j+1}-\frac{(1+\{j\delta_N\})/\delta_N}{j(j+1)}\right)$$

with

$$\delta_N\sum_{q=1}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_N\rfloor}q\delta_N\left(1-q\delta_N\right)\underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\int_0^1 x(1-x)\mathrm{d}x=\frac{1}{6}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N-1}\left(\frac{1}{j+1}-\frac{(1+\{j\delta_N\})/\delta_N}{j(j+1)}\right)\underset{N\to\infty}{\sim}\log(N\delta_N)$$

since

$$\left|\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N-1}\frac{(1+\{j\delta_N\})/\delta_N}{j(j+1)}\right|\leq\frac{2}{\delta_N}\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N-1}\frac{1}{j(j+1)}=\frac{2}{\delta_N}\left(\frac{1}{\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}-\frac{1}{N}\right)=\mathcal{O}(1).$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N-1}\frac{A_j}{j+1}\underset{N\to\infty}{\sim}\frac{1}{6}\log(N\delta_N).$$

Furthermore we notice that $|\widetilde{A}_N|\leq\delta_N\sum_{q=1}^{\lfloor 1/\delta_N\rfloor}q\delta_N\left(1-q\delta_N\right)=\frac{1}{6}+o(1)$, thus $A_N+B_N=\mathcal{O}(1)$. Finally,

$$\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N}\frac{\chi_N(j)}{j}=A_N+B_N+\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N\rceil}^{N-1}\frac{A_j+B_j}{j+1}\underset{N\to\infty}{\sim}\frac{1}{6}\log(N\delta_N)$$

hence

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_N,\theta=1})\underset{N\to\infty}{=}\frac{1}{6}\log(N\delta_N)+o(\log N\delta_N).$$

<u>General case</u> $\theta>0$:

By triangular inequality, we have

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Psi_N(j)\chi_N(j)}{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\chi_N(j)}{j}\right|\leq\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{j}|\Psi_N(j)-1|.$$

Moreover, as for any given $\theta>0$ all the terms $\Psi_N(j)-1$ have constant sign (the sign of $1-\theta$), then, using Lemma 11, it follows

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{j}|\Psi_N(j)-1|=|\theta-1|\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{j(\theta+j-1)}$$

which converges when N goes to infinity, therefore

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Psi_N(j)\chi_N(j)}{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\chi_N(j)}{j}\right|=\mathcal{O}_\theta(1).$$

Consequently, since we have proven in the previous paragraph that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\chi_N(j)}{j}\underset{N\to\infty}{=}\frac{1}{6}\log(N\delta_N)+o(\log N\delta_N)$$

we deduce

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\Psi_N(j)\chi_N(j)}{j}\underset{N\to\infty}{=}\frac{1}{6}\log(N\delta_N)+o(\log N\delta_N)$$

i.e

$$\mathrm{Var}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_N}) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N).$$

□

Proposition 26. *Suppose that the sequence* (δ_N) *satisfies* $\begin{cases} \delta_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \\ N\delta_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty. \end{cases}$ *Suppose in addition that* (α_N) *is a constant sequence, say* $\alpha_N = \alpha$ *for all* N*. Then*

$$\mathrm{Var}(X_N^{I_N}) \underset{N\to\infty}{\sim} \begin{cases} \frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N) & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is irrationnal} \\ \theta\left(\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{6q^2}\right)\log(N\delta_N) & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{p}{q} \text{ with } p,q \text{ coprime integers}, q\geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $1\leq j\leq N$, let $\omega_j^{(N)} := \{j\beta_N\} - \{j\alpha_N\} = \{j(\alpha+\delta_N)\} - \{j\alpha\}$. We recall that the variance at step N is given by

$$\mathrm{Var}(X_N^{I_N}) = \theta\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{(\omega_j^{(N)})^2}{j}\Psi_N(j) + \theta^2\sum_{1\leq j,k\leq N}\frac{\omega_j^{(N)}\omega_k^{(N)}}{jk}(\Psi_N(j+k)\mathbb{1}_{j+k\leq N} - \Psi_N(j)\Psi_N(k)).$$

Since all the $\omega_j^{(N)}$ are bounded by 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{1\leq j,k\leq N}\frac{\omega_j^{(N)}\omega_k^{(N)}}{jk}(\Psi_N(j+k)\mathbb{1}_{j+k\leq N} - \Psi_N(j)\Psi_N(k))\right| &\leq \sum_{1\leq j,k\leq N}\frac{1}{jk}\left|\Psi_N(j+k)\mathbb{1}_{j+k\leq N} - \Psi_N(j)\Psi_N(k)\right| \\ &= \mathcal{O}_\theta(1) \qquad \text{applying Lemma 15.}\end{aligned}$$

Assume α to be irrational. The result derives from the following lemma:

Lemma 27. *Let* $t\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$*. Let* $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *be a sequence of positive real numbers which converges to* 0*. Let* f *be a real-valued continuous function on* $[0,1]$*. Then*

$$\varepsilon_n\sum_{j=1}^{\lceil\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}\rceil-1} f(j\varepsilon_n)\mathbb{1}_{j\varepsilon_n\geq 1-\{jt\}} \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_0^1 xf(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

Indeed, noticing that $\omega_j^{(N)} = \{j\delta_N\} - \mathbb{1}_{\{j\delta_N\}\geq 1-\{j\alpha\}}$ and following the same scheme of proof than previously (treating the case $\theta=1$ and then the case $\theta>0$), we get

$$\theta\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{(\omega_j^{(N)})^2}{j}\Psi_N(j) \underset{N\to\infty}{=} \theta\log(N\delta_N)\delta_N\sum_{q=1}^{\lceil\frac{1}{\delta_N}\rceil}(q\delta_N - \mathbb{1}_{q\delta_N\geq 1-\{q\alpha\}})^2 + o(\log(N\delta_N))$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_N\sum_{q=1}^{\lceil\frac{1}{\delta_N}\rceil}(q\delta_N - \mathbb{1}_{q\delta_N\geq 1-\{q\alpha\}})^2 &= \delta_N\sum_{q=1}^{\lceil\frac{1}{\delta_N}\rceil}\left[(q\delta_N)^2 + (1-2q\delta_N)\mathbb{1}_{q\delta_N\geq 1-\{q\alpha\}}\right] \\ &\underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_0^1 (x^2+x(1-2x))\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{6}.\end{aligned}$$

Assume α to be rational, say $\alpha=\frac{p}{q}$ with p,q coprime numbers and $q\geq 1$.
Let us define the function $f:(x,y)\mapsto(x-\mathbb{1}_{x\geq 1-y})^2$ on $[0,1]^2$, in order to write $(\omega_j^{(N)})^2 = f(\{j\delta_N\},\{j\alpha\})$ for all j. We slightly adapt the previous proof, starting again with the case $\theta=1$.
We decompose into three parts

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{j}f(\{j\delta_N\},\{j\alpha\}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil\frac{1}{\delta_N}\rceil-1}\frac{1}{j}f(j\delta_N,\{j\alpha\}) + (A_N+B_N) + \sum_{j=\lceil\frac{1}{\delta_N}\rceil}^{N-1}\frac{1}{j+1}(A_j+B_j)$$

with for all $j \geq 1$, $A_j := \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{1}{\delta_N} \rceil}^{\lceil \lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor / \delta_N \rceil - 1} f(\{k\delta_N\}, \{k\alpha\})$ and $B_j := \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=\lceil \lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor / \delta_N \rceil}^{j} f(\{k\delta_N\}, \{k\alpha\})$.

For the first part, noticing that for all $x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $j \geq 1$ we have $f(x, \{j\alpha\}) \leq f(x, \frac{q-1}{q})$, then

$$0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \frac{1}{\delta_N} \rceil - 1} \frac{1}{j} f(j\delta_N, \{j\alpha\}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \frac{1}{2\delta_N} \rceil - 1} \frac{1}{j} f\left(j\delta_N, \frac{q-1}{q}\right) + \sum_{j=\lceil \frac{1}{2\delta_N} \rceil}^{\lceil \frac{1}{\delta_N} \rceil - 1} \frac{1}{j}$$
$$\underset{N \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_0^{1/2} \frac{(x - \mathbb{1}_{x \geq \frac{1}{q}})^2}{x} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{x} \mathrm{d}x < +\infty.$$

For the third part, since f is bounded by 1 on $[0,1]^2$, it is easy to check that $\sum_{j=\lceil \frac{1}{\delta_N} \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{1}{j+1} B_j = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Moreover, A_j for $j \geq 1$ can be formulated as

$$A_j = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1} \left(\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{l}{q\delta_N} \rceil}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{q} \lceil \frac{l+1}{\delta_N} \rceil \rfloor - 1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} f_m(\{(kq+m)\delta_N\}) \right) + \sum_{r=\lceil \frac{l}{\delta_N} \rceil}^{q \lceil \frac{l}{q\delta_N} \rceil - 1} f_r(\{r\delta_N\}) + \sum_{r=q \lfloor \frac{1}{q} \lceil \frac{l+1}{\delta_N} \rceil \rfloor}^{\lceil \frac{l+1}{\delta_N} \rceil - 1} f_r(\{r\delta_N\})$$

where $f_n(x) := f(x, \{n\alpha\})$ for all n. This new expression of A_j is manageable. Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1} \left(\sum_{r=\lceil \frac{l}{\delta_N} \rceil}^{q \lceil \frac{l}{q\delta_N} \rceil - 1} f_r(\{r\delta_N\}) + \sum_{r=q \lfloor \frac{1}{q} \lceil \frac{l+1}{\delta_N} \rceil \rfloor}^{\lceil \frac{l+1}{\delta_N} \rceil - 1} f_r(\{r\delta_N\}) \right) \leq \frac{1}{j} \left(\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1 \right) (q+q) \leq 2q\delta_N,$$

and for all l, m,

$$\sum_{k=\lceil \frac{l}{q\delta_N} \rceil}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{q} \lceil \frac{l+1}{\delta_N} \rceil \rfloor - 1} f_m(\{(kq+m)\delta_N\}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{q} \lceil \frac{l+1}{\delta_N} \rceil \rfloor - 1 - \lceil \frac{l}{q\delta_N} \rceil} f_m\left(\left\{ \left(\left(k + \left\lceil \frac{l}{q\delta_N} \right\rceil \right) q + m \right) \delta_N \right\} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{q} \lceil \frac{l+1}{\delta_N} \rceil \rfloor - 1 - \lceil \frac{l}{q\delta_N} \rceil} f_m\left(\left(kq + m + q \left\{ \frac{-l}{q\delta_N} \right\} \right) \delta_N \right).$$

Let $\widetilde{A}_j := \frac{1}{j}(\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1) \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{q\delta_N} \rfloor - 1} f_m((kq+m)\delta_N)$. Then

$$|A_j - \widetilde{A}_j| \leq \frac{1}{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor j\delta_N \rfloor - 1} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{q\delta_N} \rfloor - 1} \left| f_m\left(\left(kq + m + q \left\{ \frac{-l}{q\delta_N} \right\} \right) \delta_N \right) - f_m\left((kq+m)\delta_N \right) \right| + \mathcal{O}(\delta_N).$$

For all $0 \leq m \leq q-1$, the function f_m is piecewise polynomial on $[0,1]$ (it has one finite discontinuity at point $t = 1 - \{m\alpha\}$). Thus

$$\left| f_m\left(\left(kq + m + q \left\{ \frac{-l}{q\delta_N} \right\} \right) \delta_N \right) - f_m\left((kq+m)\delta_N \right) \right| \leq q \left\{ \frac{-l}{q\delta_N} \right\} \delta_N \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{[0,1]} |f_m'|$$
$$+ \mathbb{1}_{t \leq \left(kq+m+q\left\{ \frac{-l}{q\delta_N} \right\} \right)\delta_N < t + q\left\{ \frac{-l}{q\delta_N} \right\}\delta_N}$$
$$\leq 2q\delta_N + \mathbb{1}_{t \leq \left(kq+m+q\left\{ \frac{-l}{q\delta_N} \right\} \right)\delta_N < t + q\left\{ \frac{-l}{q\delta_N} \right\}\delta_N}$$

At fixed l, m, it is easy to check that there is at most one k such that the indicator function equals 1. Hence

$$|A_j - \widetilde{A}_j| \leq \frac{1}{j} \times j\delta_N \times q \times \left[\frac{1}{q\delta_N} \times (2q\delta_N) + 1 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\delta_N) = \mathcal{O}(\delta_N)$$

and consequently

$$\left| \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{A_j}{j+1} - \sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_j}{j+1} \right| = o(\log(N\delta_N)).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{\widetilde{A}_j}{j+1} &= \delta_N \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{1}{q\delta_N} \right\rfloor - 1} f_m((kq+m)\delta_N) \left(\sum_{j=\lceil 1/\delta_N \rceil}^{N-1} \frac{1}{j+1} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \left(\int_0^1 f_m(x)\mathrm{d}x + o(1) \right) (\log(N\delta_N) + \mathcal{O}(1)).\end{aligned}$$

Since p and q are coprime numbers, the numbers $\{m\alpha\}$ cycle through some rearrangement of the numbers $0, \frac{1}{q}, \cdots, \frac{q-1}{q}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{q} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \int_0^1 f_m(x)\mathrm{d}x &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \int_0^1 \left(x - \mathbb{1}_{x \geq 1 - \frac{m}{q}} \right)^2 \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} \frac{m}{q} \left(1 - \frac{m}{q} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6q^2}\end{aligned}$$

(indeed when $q = 1$ the last equality is satisfied too).

Furthermore, note that $|\widetilde{A}_N| \leq \delta_N \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{1}{q\delta_N} \right\rfloor - 1} 1 \leq 1$ and then $|A_N + B_N| = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Finally, putting it all together,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\omega_j^{(N)})^2}{j} = \left(\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6q^2} \right) \log(N\delta_N) + o(\log(N\delta_N))$$

and using the same argument than in the previous proof we deduce the result for all $\theta > 0$, as announced. □

4.2 Limiting normality for a single changing arc

Theorem 28. *Suppose that the sequence (δ_N) satisfies* $\begin{cases} \delta_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \\ N\delta_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty. \end{cases}$ *and let* $\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_N} := \dfrac{\widetilde{X}_N^{I_N} - \mathbb{E}(\widetilde{X}_N^{I_N})}{\left(\frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N)\right)^{1/2}}$.

Then

$$\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_N} \xrightarrow{d} Z$$

where Z is a centred Gaussian random variable of variance 1.

Proof. This proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 23, in the particular case of one interval.
We introduce again the sequence of independent Poisson random variables (W_j) from Feller Coupling, with W_j Poisson of parameter θ/j. We denote for all j, p, $b_{j,p}^{(N)} := \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p} > \{j\alpha_N\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\phi_{j,p} > \{j\beta_N\}} + \{j\alpha_N\} - \{j\beta_N\}$, and $T_N := \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{N,j}$ with for all j, $V_{N,j} := \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N)\right)^{1/2}} \sum_{p=1}^{W_j} b_{j,p}^{(N)}$.
Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Using Markov's inequality and Lemma 13,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(|\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_N} - T_N| > \varepsilon \right) &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon \left(\frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N)\right)^{1/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E} \sum_{p=1}^{|a_{N,j} - W_j|} |b_{j,p}^{(N)}| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \theta + \theta^2}{\varepsilon \left(\frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N)\right)^{1/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\widetilde{Y}_N^{I_N} - T_N \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$. Moreover, for all j,

$$\mathrm{Var}(V_{N,j}) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{6}\log(N\delta_N)}\frac{1}{j}\{j\delta_N\}(1-\{j\delta_N\}).$$

In a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 23, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left((V_{N,j})^2\mathbb{1}_{|V_{N,j}|>\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N)}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left(W_j^2\mathbb{1}_{W_j>\varepsilon\left(\frac{\theta}{6}\log(N\delta_N)\right)^{1/2}}\right) \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

then (T_N) converges in distribution to a centred Gaussian random variable of variance

$$\sigma^2 := \lim_{N\to+\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathrm{Var}(V_{N,j}) = \lim_{N\to+\infty}\frac{1}{\frac{1}{6}\log(N\delta_N)}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\{j\delta_N\}(1-\{j\delta_N\})}{j} = 1.$$

Slutsky's theorem ends the proof. □

Theorem 29. *Suppose that* $I_N = \left(\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi\alpha}, \mathrm{e}^{2i\pi(\alpha+\delta_N)}\right]$ *with* $\begin{cases} \delta_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \\ N\delta_N \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty. \end{cases}$

Let $Y_N^{I_N} := \dfrac{X_N^{I_N} - \mathbb{E}(X_N^{I_N})}{(\theta c_2(\alpha)\log(N\delta_N))^{1/2}}$ *where* $c_2(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{6} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is irrational} \\ \dfrac{1}{6}+\dfrac{1}{6q^2} & \text{if } \alpha = \dfrac{p}{q},\ p,q \text{ coprime numbers, } q\geq 1. \end{cases}$

Then

$$Y_N^{I_N} \xrightarrow{d} Z$$

where Z *is a centred Gaussian random variable of variance* 1.

Proof. This proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 22, in the particular case of one interval. We introduce again the sequence of independent Poisson random variables (W_j) from Feller Coupling, with W_j Poisson of parameter θ/j. Let $T_N := \sum_{j=1}^{N} V_{N,j}$ with for all j, $V_{N,j} := \frac{\frac{\theta}{j}-W_j}{(\theta c_2(\alpha)\log(N\delta_N))^{1/2}}\omega_j^{(N)}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Using Markov's inequality, Lemma 11 and Lemma 13,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(|Y_N^{I_N} - T_N| > \varepsilon\right) &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon\left(\theta c_2(\alpha)\log(N\delta_N)\right)^{1/2}}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\theta}{j}|\Psi_N(j)-1| + \sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}|W_j - a_{N,j}|\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon\left(\theta c_2(\alpha)\log(N\delta_N)\right)^{1/2}} \times \mathcal{O}_\theta(1),\end{aligned}$$

hence $Y_N^{I_N} - T_N \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$. In a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 22, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left((V_{N,j})^2\mathbb{1}_{|V_{N,j}|>\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\theta c_2(\alpha)\log(N\delta_N)}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left(\left(W_j-\frac{\theta}{j}\right)^2\mathbb{1}_{|W_j-\frac{\theta}{j}|>\varepsilon(\theta c_2(\alpha)\log(N\delta_N))^{1/2}}\right) \underset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

then (T_N) converges in distribution to a centred Gaussian random variable of variance

$$\sigma^2 := \lim_{N\to+\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\mathrm{Var}(V_{N,j}) = \lim_{N\to+\infty}\frac{1}{c_2(\alpha)\log(N\delta_N)}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{(\omega_j^{(N)})^2}{j} = 1.$$

Slutsky's theorem ends the proof. □

Remark. For a finite number of mesoscopic arcs, say m arcs, with shrinking speeds $\delta_N^{(k)}$, $1\leq k\leq m$, it is reasonable to expect that some asymptotic results still occur. Indeed, the only point to overcome in the demonstration is the existence of non-diagonal terms in the covariance matrices D and $\widetilde{D}$, whose good candidates would be for $k\neq l$:

$$D_{k,l} = \frac{1}{(c_2(\alpha_k)c_2(\alpha_l))^{1/2}}\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{\left(\log(N\delta_N^{(k)})\log(N\delta_N^{(l)})\right)^{1/2}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\omega_{j,k}^{(N)}\omega_{j,l}^{(N)}}{j}$$

and

$$\widetilde{D}_{k,l} = 6 \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\left(\log(N\delta_N^{(k)})\log(N\delta_N^{(l)})\right)^{1/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{H_{j,k,l}^{(N)}}{j},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{j,k}^{(N)} := \{j(\alpha_k + \delta_N^{(k)})\} - \{j\alpha_k\} \\ H_{j,k,l} = \frac{1}{2}(h_j(\alpha_N^{(k)} - \beta_N^{(l)}) + h_j(\beta_N^{(k)} - \alpha_N^{(l)}) - h_j(\alpha_N^{(k)} - \alpha_N^{(l)}) - h_j(\beta_N^{(k)} - \beta_N^{(l)})), \end{cases}$$

$h_j(x) := \{jx\}(1 - \{jx\})$. It is not clear these limits exist, since the formulas suggest a deep dependence on the way the m arcs overlap when N becomes large.

5 Spacing between eigenvalues

5.1 Largest spacing between two consecutive distinct eigenvalues

For all $N \geq 1$, denote by $\mathcal{D}_N$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_N$) the largest spacing between two consecutive distinct eigenangles of a random element from $\mathfrak{S}_N$ (resp. $S^1 \wr \mathfrak{S}_N$), where the permutations are picked under Ewens measure of parameter $\theta > 0$.

Proposition 30. *The sequences of random variables $(n\mathcal{D}_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(n\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(\frac{1}{n\mathcal{D}_n})_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\frac{1}{n\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n})_{n\geq 1}$ are tight.*

Remark. Informally, this proposition involves that $\mathcal{D}_n$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$ have an order of magnitude of $\frac{1}{n}$.

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$. It is easy to check that

$$\frac{2\pi}{Z_n} \leq \mathcal{D}_n \leq \frac{2\pi}{L_{n,1}}$$

where $L_{n,1}$ denotes the largest cycle length of the corresponding permutation and Z_n the number of distinct eigenvalues, using the pigeonhole principle for the first inequality.
In particular, since $Z_n \leq n$,

$$2\pi \leq n\mathcal{D}_n \leq \frac{2\pi}{\frac{1}{n}L_{n,1}}.$$

Obviously, the left-hand side inequality provides the tightness of $(\frac{1}{n\mathcal{D}_n})_{n\geq 1}$. Moreover, it is well-known (see [2]) that $\left(\frac{1}{n}L_{n,1}\right)$ converges in distribution to the first coordinate of a Poisson-Dirichlet random vector of parameter θ, which is almost surely finite and positive. Using the continuous mapping theorem, it follows that $\left(\frac{2\pi}{\frac{1}{n}L_{n,1}}\right)$ converges in distribution, and thus this sequence is tight. We deduce

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \exists \eta_\varepsilon > 0, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \mathbb{P}(n\mathcal{D}_n \leq \eta_\varepsilon) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{2\pi}{\frac{1}{n}L_{n,1}} \leq \eta_\varepsilon\right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Now, for a random element of the wreath product $S^1 \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ related to the same permutation, the previous inequality holds, *i.e*

$$\frac{2\pi}{n} \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n \leq \frac{2\pi}{L_{n,1}}.$$

Note that in this case the number of distinct eigenvalues is almost surely equal to n. The same reasoning than above applied to $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_n$ gives the claim. □

5.2 Smallest spacing between two consecutive distinct eigenvalues

For all $N \geq 1$, denote by d_N (resp. $\widetilde{d}_N$) the smallest spacing between two consecutive distinct eigenangles of a random element from $\mathfrak{S}_N$ (resp. $S^1 \wr \mathfrak{S}_N$), where the permutations are picked under Ewens measure of parameter $\theta > 0$.

Proposition 31. *The sequences of random variables $(n^2 d_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(n^2\widetilde{d}_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(\frac{1}{n^2 d_n})_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\frac{1}{n^2\widetilde{d}_n})_{n\geq 1}$ are tight.*

Remark. Informally, this proposition involves that d_n and $\widetilde{d}_n$ have an order of magnitude of $\frac{1}{n^2}$.

Proof. Let $(a_{n,1}, \cdots, a_{n,n})$ be the cycle structure and $(A_{n,1}, \cdots, A_{n,n})$ be the *age-ordered list of cycle lengths* (*i.e* the vector of cycle lengths in order of appearance, that is to say the increasing order following the lowest element of each cycle) of the random n-permutation.
The smallest spacing d_n can be formulated as

$$d_n := \frac{2\pi}{\sup\{\mathrm{lcm}(k,l) \ : \ 1 \leq k,l \leq n, \quad a_{n,k}, a_{n,l} \geq 1\}}$$

On the one hand, trivially $d_n \geq \frac{2\pi}{n^2}$ for all n, then $(\frac{1}{n^2 d_n})_{n\geq 1}$ is tight.
On the other hand,

$$d_n \leq \frac{2\pi}{\mathrm{lcm}(A_{n,1}, A_{n,2})} = \frac{2\pi \cdot \gcd(A_{n,1}, A_{n,2})}{A_{n,1}A_{n,2}}.$$

We are going to show that $(\gcd(A_{n,1}, A_{n,2}))_{n\geq 1}$ is tight.
Let A be a positive integer.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\gcd(A_{n,1}, A_{n,2}) \geq A) &= \sum_{j=A}^{n} \mathbb{P}(\gcd(A_{n,1}, A_{n,2}) = j) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=A}^{n} \mathbb{P}(j \text{ is a common divisor of } A_{n,1} \text{ and } A_{n,2}) \\
&= \sum_{j=A}^{n} \sum_{\substack{1\leq k,l \\ k+l\leq \lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor}} \mathbb{P}((A_{n,1}, A_{n,2}) = (jk, jl)).
\end{aligned}$$

From a basic result in [2] we have, for all $a_1, a_2 \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{n,1} = a_1, A_{n,2} = a_2) = \frac{\theta^2 \Psi_n(a_1 + a_2)}{n(n - a_1)}.$$

Thus, for all $j \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{\substack{1\leq k,l \\ k+l\leq \lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor}} \mathbb{P}((A_{n,1}, A_{n,2}) = (jk, jl)) = \frac{\theta^2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{n - jk} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - k} \Psi_n(j(k+l)).$$

<u>If $\theta \geq 1$</u>, then $\Psi_n(m) \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq m \leq n$, and then

$$\frac{\theta^2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{n - jk} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - k} \Psi_n(j(k+l)) \leq \frac{\theta^2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{n - jk} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \right\rfloor - k \right) \leq \frac{\theta^2}{j^2}.$$

<u>If $\theta \leq 1$</u>, then $\Psi_n(m) \leq \Psi_n(m+1)$ for all $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, and then for all $j \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - k - 1} \Psi_n(j(k+l)) &\leq \frac{1}{j} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - k - 1} \sum_{p=jl}^{j(l+1)-1} \Psi_n(jk+p) \\
&\leq \Psi_n(jk) \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p=1}^{n-jk} \Psi_{n-jk}(p) \\
&= \Psi_n(jk) \frac{n - jk}{j\theta},
\end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 11 for the latest equality, and similarly

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - 1} \Psi_n(jk) \leq \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{p=jk}^{j(k+1)-1} \Psi_n(p) \leq \frac{n}{j\theta}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\theta^2}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j}\rfloor -1}\frac{1}{n-jk}\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j}\rfloor -k}\Psi_n(j(k+l)) \leq \frac{\theta^2}{n}\left[\left(\frac{1}{j\theta}\times\frac{n}{j\theta}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j}\rfloor -1}\frac{1}{n-jk}\Psi_n\left(j\left\lfloor\frac{n}{j}\right\rfloor\right)\right]$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{j^2}+\frac{\theta^2}{n}\Psi_n(n)\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j}\rfloor -1}\frac{1}{n-jk}$$

with, using Holder inequality,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j}\rfloor -1}\frac{1}{n-jk}\leq\frac{1}{j}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j}\rfloor -1}\frac{1}{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{j}\right\rfloor-k}=\frac{1}{j}\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j}\rfloor}\frac{1}{k}\leq\frac{1}{j}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j}\rfloor}\frac{1}{k^{1/(1-\theta)}}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{j}\right\rfloor\right)^{\theta}=\mathcal{O}_\theta\left(\frac{n^\theta}{j^{1+\theta}}\right).$$

Consequently, we deduce that for all $\theta>0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\gcd(A_{n,1},A_{n,2})\geq A)\leq(\theta^2+1)\sum_{j=A}^{n}\frac{1}{j^2}+\mathcal{O}_\theta\left(\sum_{j=A}^{n}\frac{\Psi_n(n)}{n^{1-\theta}j^{1+\theta}}\right)$$
$$\leq(\theta^2+1)\sum_{j=A}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{j^2}+\mathcal{O}_\theta\left(\sum_{j=A}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{j^{1+\theta}}\right)$$
$$\leq\varepsilon$$

for all fixed real numbers $\varepsilon>0$ and A large enough depending on θ and ε.
Finally, it is well-known (see again [2]) that

$$\frac{1}{n}(A_{n,1},A_{n,2},\cdots,A_{n,n})\xrightarrow{d}(G_1,G_2,\cdots)$$

where $(G_1,G_2,\cdots)$ has GEM(θ) distribution. Note that G_1 and G_2 are almost surely finite and positive. Since

$$2\pi\leq n^2d_n\leq\frac{2\pi\gcd(A_{n,1},A_{n,2})}{\frac{1}{n}A_{n,1}\cdot\frac{1}{n}A_{n,2}},$$

then the continuous mapping theorem gives the tightness of $(n^2d_n)_{n\geq1}$.

Now, for a random element of the wreath product $S^1\wr\mathfrak{S}_n$ related to the same permutation, the spacing is lower than the one for the permutation. Indeed, for all pair of cycle lengths (p,q),

- if $p=q$, then applying whatever rotations on the corresponding sets of eigenvalues (the p-th roots of unity) decreases the distance between two distinct eigenvalues.
- if $p\neq q$, we can suppose without loss of generality that p and q are coprime numbers (since the set of all eigenangles is periodic of period $2\pi/\gcd(p,q)$). Let $s\in(0,1)$. It is easy to check that the smallest spacing between two arguments of points which are respectively taken from $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2i\pi k}{p}},0\leq k\leq p-1\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{2i\pi(\frac{l}{q}+s)},0\leq l\leq q-1\right\}$ divided by 2π is equal to

$$\min_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z}\\l\in\mathbb{Z}}}\left|\frac{l}{q}+s-\frac{k}{p}\right|=\frac{1}{pq}\min_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z}\\l\in\mathbb{Z}}}|lp-kq+spq|$$

and by Bézout we know that $\{lp-kq:k,l\in\mathbb{Z}\}=\mathbb{Z}$, thus

$$\min_{\substack{k\in\mathbb{Z}\\l\in\mathbb{Z}}}|lp-kq+spq|=\min_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|n+spq|=\min(\{spq\},1-\{spq\})\leq1.$$

Consequently we have $\widetilde{d}_n \leq d_n$ and we deduce the tightness of $(n^2\widetilde{d}_n)_{n\geq 1}$.

It remains to show that $(\frac{1}{n^2\widetilde{d}_n})_{n\geq 1}$ is tight. For this purpose, denote E_n the ensemble of couples of cycle lengths of the considered randomly chosen n-permutation. First observe that for all uniform random variables U on $[0,1]$ and all non-zero integer n, $\min(\{nU\}, 1-\{nU\})$ follows a uniform distribution on $[0,1/2]$. Hence, using what we did above,

$$\widetilde{d}_n \geq 2\pi \min_{(l_1,l_2)\in E_n} \frac{1}{l_1 l_2} V_{l_1,l_2}$$

where $V_{j,k}$ are uniform random variables on $[0,1/2]$ (which are not independent when the indices overlap). Now, conditionally to $E_n = E$ where E is a possible ensemble of couples of lengths for the picked n-permutation, we have for all positive real numbers $t < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\min_{(l_1,l_2)\in E_n} \frac{1}{l_1 l_2} V_{l_1,l_2} \leq \frac{t}{n^2} \mid E_n = E\right) &\leq \sum_{(l_1,l_2)\in E} \mathbb{P}\left(V_{l_1,l_2} \leq \frac{t l_1 l_2}{n^2}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{(l_1,l_2)\in E} 2\frac{t l_1 l_2}{n^2} = 2t\end{aligned}$$

using the union bound for the first inequality. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\min_{(l_1,l_2)\in E_n} \frac{1}{l_1 l_2} V_{l_1,l_2} \leq \frac{t}{n^2}\right) &= \sum_E \mathbb{P}\left(\min_{(l_1,l_2)\in E_n} \frac{1}{l_1 l_2} V_{l_1,l_2} \leq \frac{t}{n^2} \;\mid\; E_n = E\right)\mathbb{P}(E_n = E) \\ &\leq 2t \sum_E \mathbb{P}(E_n = E) = 2t\end{aligned}$$

which is independent of n and as small as we want for t small enough. Therefore the tightness of $(\frac{1}{n^2\widetilde{d}_n})_{n\geq 1}$ is proved. □

Appendix

The following proposition gives the whole possible values for the constant c_2 appearing in Proposition 17, in function of α and β.

Proposition 32. *Let p, r be integers and q, s positive integers with p and q relatively prime, r and s relatively prime, $r \neq 0$. Then*

$$c_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are irrational numbers which are linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q} \\ \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6q^2} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{p}{q} \text{ and } \beta \text{ is irrational} \\ \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6s^2} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ irrational and } \beta = \frac{r}{s} \\ \frac{(2q-1)(q-1)}{6q^2} + \frac{(2s-1)(s-1)}{6s^2} - \frac{2}{qs}\sum_{j=1}^{qs}\{\frac{jp}{q}\}\{\frac{jr}{s}\} & \text{if } \alpha = \frac{p}{q} \text{ and } \beta = \frac{r}{s} \\ \frac{1}{6} - \frac{\gcd(s,q)^2}{6srq^2} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is irrational and } \beta = \frac{p}{q} + \frac{r}{s}\alpha. \end{cases}$$

The four first cases have been shown by Wieand, as well as the last case for $s = 1$ by the same author in [14]. We complete her work in this appendix, treating the case s arbitrary.

Therefore, suppose α and β irrational numbers which are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Q}$, say $\beta = \frac{p}{q} + \frac{r}{s}\alpha$, with $s \geq 2$.

Let us recall that $c_2 := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\{j\beta\} - \{j\alpha\})^2$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\{jx\}^2$ is easy to compute for all real numbers x, it remains to study what was denoted by s_3 in [14], defined as

$$s_3(\alpha, \beta) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\{j\alpha\}\{j\beta\}.$$

We first assume that we have $r > 0$. We are going to proceed in two steps.

• Computation of $s_3(\alpha, \frac{r}{s}\alpha)$:

Before starting the calculation, it is good to notice that if $\psi := \frac{\alpha}{s}$ then ψ is still irrational and consequently the sequence $(n\psi)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ is still equidistributed. Thus $s_3(s\psi, r\psi) = s_3(s\alpha, r\alpha)$, *i.e* $s_3(\alpha, \frac{r}{s}\alpha) = s_3(s\alpha, r\alpha)$. Indeed, it is a direct consequence of Theorem 9 given in [14] that we recall here:

Theorem 33. *Let f be a Riemann integrable function on $[0, 1]$, and let $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Then for all real numbers b,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} f(\{jt + b\}) = \int_0^1 f(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

(We take $b = 0$ and $f(x) = \{sx\}\{rx\}$.)

The expression of $s_3(s\alpha, r\alpha)$ is more convenient to handle in practice. We decompose for $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{js\alpha\}\{jr\alpha\} &= (s\{j\alpha\} - \lfloor s\{j\alpha\}\rfloor)\,(r\{j\alpha\} - \lfloor r\{j\alpha\}\rfloor) \\ &= rs\{j\alpha\}^2 - r\sum_{l=1}^{s-1}\{j\alpha\}\mathbb{1}_{\{j\alpha\}\geq\frac{l}{s}} - s\sum_{k=1}^{r-1}\{j\alpha\}\mathbb{1}_{\{j\alpha\}\geq\frac{k}{r}} + \sum_{\substack{1\leq k\leq r-1\\ 1\leq l\leq s-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j\alpha\}\geq\max(\frac{l}{s},\frac{k}{r})} \end{aligned}$$

The three first terms will not pose any difficulty since the limits of their respective means have already been evaluated in [14]. The novelty holds in the fourth term. For $k \in [\![1, r-1]\!]$ and $l \in [\![1, s-1]\!]$, let $f_{k,l}(x) := \mathbb{1}_{x\geq\max(\frac{l}{s},\frac{k}{r})}$. These functions are clearly Riemann-integrable on $[0, 1]$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{\substack{1\leq k\leq r-1\\ 1\leq l\leq s-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{j\alpha\}\geq\max(\frac{l}{s},\frac{k}{r})} &\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \sum_{\substack{1\leq k\leq r-1\\ 1\leq l\leq s-1}} \int_0^1 f_{k,l}(x)\mathrm{d}x \\ &= (r-1)(s-1) - \sum_{\substack{1\leq k\leq r-1\\ 1\leq l\leq s-1}} \max\left(\frac{l}{s}, \frac{k}{r}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le r-1\\1\le l\le s-1}}\max\left(\frac{l}{s},\frac{k}{r}\right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r-1}\left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor\frac{sk}{r}\rfloor}\frac{k}{r}\right)+\left(\sum_{l=\lfloor\frac{sk}{r}\rfloor+1}^{s-1}\frac{l}{s}\right)\right)\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{r-1}\left(\left(\frac{k}{r}\left\lfloor\frac{sk}{r}\right\rfloor\right)+\left(\frac{s-1}{2}-\frac{\lfloor\frac{sk}{r}\rfloor\left(\lfloor\frac{sk}{r}\rfloor+1\right)}{2s}\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{(r-1)(s-1)}{2}+\frac{1}{2s}\sum_{k=1}^{r-1}\left(\frac{sk}{r}\right)^2-\frac{sk}{r}-\left\{\frac{sk}{r}\right\}^2+\left\{\frac{sk}{r}\right\}\\ &= \frac{(r-1)(s-1)}{2}+\frac{1}{2s}\left(\frac{s^2-1}{r^2}\frac{r(r-1)(2r-1)}{6}-\frac{s-1}{r}\frac{r(r-1)}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Once expanded, we get

$$(r-1)(s-1)-\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le r-1\\1\le l\le s-1}}\max\left(\frac{l}{s},\frac{k}{r}\right)=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{rs}{3}-\frac{r}{4}-\frac{s}{4}-\frac{s}{12r}-\frac{r}{12s}+\frac{1}{12sr}.$$

We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}s_3(s\alpha,r\alpha) &= \frac{rs}{3}-r\left(\frac{s}{3}-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{12s}\right)-s\left(\frac{r}{3}-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{12r}\right)\\ &\quad+\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{rs}{3}-\frac{r}{4}-\frac{s}{4}-\frac{s}{12r}-\frac{r}{12s}+\frac{1}{12sr}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12sr}\end{aligned}$$

- Computation of $s_3(\alpha,\frac{p}{q}+\frac{r}{s}\alpha)$:

First of all, the particular case $q=1$ is trivial since $s_3(\alpha,p+\frac{r}{s}\alpha)=s_3(\alpha,\frac{r}{s}\alpha)$ and then $c_2=\frac{2}{3}-2\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12sr}\right)=\frac{1}{6}-\frac{\gcd(s,1)^2}{6sr\times1^2}$. Thus we assume in all the following that $q\ge2$.
In the same manner as at the beginning of the first step, we notice that $s_3(\alpha,\frac{p}{q}+\frac{r}{s}\alpha)=s_3(s\alpha,\frac{p}{q}+r\alpha)$ (using Theorem 33 with $b=\frac{p}{q}$ and for f a complicated expression that we do not precise here, which is bounded and piecewise continuous so Riemann-integrable).
For all $j\ge1$,

$$\{js\alpha\}\{j\frac{p}{q}+jr\alpha\}=\{js\alpha\}\{j\frac{p}{q}\}+\{js\alpha\}\{jr\alpha\}-\{js\alpha\}\mathbb{1}_{\{j\frac{p}{q}\}+\{jr\alpha\}\ge1}.$$

The mean of the first term tends to $s_3(s\alpha,\frac{p}{q})=\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4q}$. The mean of the second term tends to $s_3(s\alpha,r\alpha)=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12sr}$ by the first step. It remains to study the third term. In a similar way to what is done in [14], it is easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{q\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\right\rfloor}\sum_{j=1}^{q\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\rfloor}\{js\alpha\}\mathbb{1}_{\{j\frac{p}{q}\}+\{jr\alpha\}\ge1}\underset{n\to\infty}{=}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\{js\alpha\}\mathbb{1}_{\{j\frac{p}{q}\}+\{jr\alpha\}\ge1}+o(1).$$

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$. We use the periodicity of the sequence $(\{\frac{kp}{q}\})$ to write that the left-hand side expression is

equal to

$$\frac{1}{q\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\right\rfloor}\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\right\rfloor-1}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\{(k+jq)s\alpha\}\mathbb{1}_{\{(k+jq)r\alpha\}\geq 1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}}$$

$$= s.\frac{1}{q}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\frac{1}{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\right\rfloor}\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\right\rfloor-1}\{(k+jq)\alpha\}\mathbb{1}_{\{(k+jq)r\alpha\}\geq 1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}}$$

$$-\frac{1}{q}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\frac{1}{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\right\rfloor}\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\right\rfloor-1}\sum_{m=1}^{s-1}\mathbb{1}_{\left(\{(k+jq)\alpha\}\geq\frac{m}{s}\right)\cap\left(\{(k+jq)r\alpha\}\geq 1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}\right)}$$

with, (see [14]),

$$\frac{1}{q}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\frac{1}{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\right\rfloor}\sum_{j=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q}\right\rfloor-1}\{(k+jq)\alpha\}\mathbb{1}_{\{(k+jq)r\alpha\}\geq 1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}}\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12r}-\frac{1}{4q}-\frac{1}{12rq^2}.$$

For $k\in[\![1,q]\!]$ and $m\in[\![1,s-1]\!]$, let

$$f_{k,m}(x)=\mathbb{1}_{(x\geq\frac{m}{s})\cap\left(rx-\sum_{l=1}^{r-1}\mathbb{1}_{x\geq\frac{l}{r}}\geq 1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}\right)}.$$

We compute its integral between 0 and 1:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 f_{k,m}(x)\mathrm{d}x&=\sum_{l=0}^{r-1}\int_{\frac{1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}+l}{r}}^{\frac{l+1}{r}}\mathbb{1}_{(x\geq\frac{m}{s})}\mathrm{d}x\\&=0+\left(\frac{\left\lfloor\frac{rm}{s}\right\rfloor+1}{r}-\max\left(\frac{m}{s},\frac{1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}+\left\lfloor\frac{rm}{s}\right\rfloor}{r}\right)\right)+\sum_{l=\left\lfloor\frac{rm}{s}\right\rfloor+1}^{r-1}\frac{l+1}{r}-\frac{1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}+l}{r}\\&=\frac{1-\left\{\frac{rm}{s}\right\}}{r}-\frac{1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}-\left\{\frac{rm}{s}\right\}}{r}\mathbb{1}_{1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}-\left\{\frac{rm}{s}\right\}\geq 0}+\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}\left(1-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{m}{s}+\frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{rm}{s}\right\}\right)\\&=\frac{1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}-\left\{\frac{rm}{s}\right\}}{r}\mathbb{1}_{1-\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}-\left\{\frac{rm}{s}\right\}<0}+\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}\left(1-\frac{m}{s}+\frac{1}{r}\left\{\frac{rm}{s}\right\}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{m=1}^{s-1}\int_0^1 f_{k,m}(x)\mathrm{d}x&=-\sum_{k=1}^{q}\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}+\sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{m=0}^{s-1}\int_0^1 f_{k,m}(x)\mathrm{d}x\\&=-\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\frac{k}{q}+\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\sum_{m=0}^{s-1}\frac{1-\frac{k}{q}-\frac{m}{s}}{r}\mathbb{1}_{\frac{m}{s}>1-\frac{k}{q}}+\frac{k}{q}\left(1-\frac{m}{s}+\frac{m}{sr}\right)\\&=\frac{q-1}{2}\left(s-1-\frac{s-1}{2}+\frac{s-1}{2r}\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\sum_{m=0}^{s-1}\frac{1-\frac{k}{q}-\frac{m}{s}}{r}\mathbb{1}_{\frac{m}{s}>1-\frac{k}{q}}\end{aligned}$$

where we get the second equality noting that the numbers $\left\{\frac{kp}{q}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{\frac{mr}{s}\right\}$) cycle through some rearrangement of the numbers $0,\frac{1}{q},\cdots,\frac{q-1}{q}$ (resp. $0,\frac{1}{s},\cdots,\frac{s-1}{s}$).
Now, it just remains to compute the second term in the last expression, which is equal to

$$\frac{1}{r}\sum_{k=\left\lfloor\frac{q}{s}\right\rfloor+1}^{q-1}\sum_{m=\left\lfloor s-\frac{ks}{q}\right\rfloor+1}^{s-1}\left(1-\frac{k}{q}-\frac{m}{s}\right).$$

Note that the condition $\left\lfloor \frac{q}{s} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq q-1$ is always satisfied when $q \geq 3$ since $s \geq 2 > \frac{3}{2} \geq \frac{q}{q-1}$. When $q = 2$, it only fails for $s = 2$ (in this case we have an empty sum). For now assume we are not in the case $q = s = 2$. We will easily treat this remaining case at the end. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=\left\lfloor \frac{q}{s} \right\rfloor+1}^{q-1} \sum_{m=\left\lfloor s-\frac{ks}{q} \right\rfloor+1}^{s-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{q} - \frac{m}{s}\right) &= \sum_{k=\left\lfloor \frac{q}{s} \right\rfloor+1}^{q-1} \left((s-1) - \left\lfloor s - \frac{ks}{q} \right\rfloor\right)\left(1 - \frac{k}{q}\right) - \frac{1}{s} \sum_{m=\left\lfloor s-\frac{ks}{q} \right\rfloor+1}^{s-1} m \\ &= \sum_{k=\left\lfloor \frac{q}{s} \right\rfloor+1}^{q-1} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{q} - s\left(\frac{k}{q}\right)^2\right) + \frac{1}{2s}\left(\left\{\frac{-ks}{q}\right\}^2 - \left\{\frac{-ks}{q}\right\}\right).\end{aligned}$$

In addition, using the facts that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{-x\}(1-\{-x\}) = \{x\}(1-\{x\})$, and for all $k \in [\![0, \left\lfloor \frac{q}{s} \right\rfloor]\!]$, $0 \leq \frac{ks}{q} < 1$, it comes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{q}{s} \right\rfloor} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{q} - s\left(\frac{k}{q}\right)^2\right) + \frac{1}{2s}\left(\left\{\frac{-ks}{q}\right\}^2 - \left\{\frac{-ks}{q}\right\}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{q}{s} \right\rfloor} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{q} - s\left(\frac{k}{q}\right)^2\right) + \frac{1}{2s}\left(\left(\frac{ks}{q}\right)^2 - \frac{ks}{q}\right) = 0.$$

Furthermore, denoting $d := \gcd(s,q)$ and $s = d\tilde{s}$, $q = d\tilde{q}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \left\{\frac{ks}{q}\right\}\left(1 - \left\{\frac{ks}{q}\right\}\right) &= d\sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{q}-1} \left\{\frac{k\tilde{s}}{\tilde{q}}\right\}\left(1 - \left\{\frac{k\tilde{s}}{\tilde{q}}\right\}\right) \\ &= d\sum_{k=0}^{\tilde{q}-1} \frac{k}{\tilde{q}}\left(1 - \frac{k}{\tilde{q}}\right) \\ &= \frac{q}{6} - \frac{d^2}{6q}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=\left\lfloor \frac{q}{s} \right\rfloor+1}^{q-1} \sum_{m=\left\lfloor s-\frac{ks}{q} \right\rfloor+1}^{s-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{q} - \frac{m}{s}\right) = -\frac{q}{12s} + \frac{d^2}{12qs} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}\left(\frac{k}{q} - s\left(\frac{k}{q}\right)^2\right).$$

Expanding the expressions it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \{js\alpha\} \mathbb{1}_{\{j\frac{p}{q}\}+\{jr\alpha\} \geq 1} \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} & \; s\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12r} - \frac{1}{4q} - \frac{1}{12rq^2}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{qs}{4} - \frac{q}{4} + \frac{qs}{4r} - \frac{q}{4r} - \frac{s}{4} - \frac{s}{4r} + \frac{1}{4r}\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{qr}\left(-\frac{q}{12s} + \frac{d^2}{12sq} - \frac{qs}{6} + \frac{s}{4} - \frac{s}{12q} + \frac{q}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\right) \\ & = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q} + \frac{1}{12sr} - \frac{d^2}{12srq^2}\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}s_3\left(\alpha, \frac{p}{q} + \frac{r}{s}\alpha\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12sr}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4q} + \frac{1}{12sr} - \frac{d^2}{12srq^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{d^2}{12srq^2}.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that this formula remains true for $q = s = 2$, since in this case we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{m=1}^{s-1} \int_0^1 f_{k,m}(x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4r}$$

and thus

$$s_3\left(\alpha, \frac{p}{q}+\frac{r}{s}\alpha\right) = \left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4q}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12sr}\right)-s\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12sr}-\frac{1}{4q}-\frac{1}{12rq^2}\right)+\frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4r}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{24r} = \frac{1}{4}+\frac{d^2}{12srq^2}.$$

Finally, we assume $r<0$.
As we have seen above, the result of $s_3\left(\alpha, \frac{p}{q}+\frac{r}{s}\alpha\right)$ is not depending on the choice of the irrational α, thus one can replace it by $(-s)\alpha$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} s_3\left(\alpha, \frac{p}{q}+\frac{r}{s}\alpha\right) &= s_3\left(s(-\alpha), \frac{p}{q}+|r|\alpha\right) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(1-\{js\alpha\})\left\{j\left(\frac{p}{q}+|r|\alpha\right)\right\} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\{j\left(\frac{p}{q}+|r|\alpha\right)\right\} - s_3\left(s\alpha, \frac{p}{q}+|r|\alpha\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{d^2}{12s|r|q^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}+\frac{d^2}{12srq^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements: The author wishes to thank his PhD advisor Joseph Najnudel for suggesting the problem and helpful comments.

References

[1] Gérard Ben Arous and Kim Dang. On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random permutation matrices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1106.2108*, 2011.

[2] Richard Arratia, Andrew D Barbour, and Simon Tavaré. *Logarithmic combinatorial structures: a probabilistic approach*, volume 1. European Mathematical Society Zürich, 2003.

[3] Nathaniel Blair-Stahn. Random permutation matrices. 2000.

[4] Paul Bourgade. Mesoscopic fluctuations of the zeta zeros. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 148(3-4):479–500, 2010.

[5] Djalil Chafaï, Yan Doumerc, and Florent Malrieu. Processus des restaurants chinois et loi d'Ewens. *Revue de Mathématiques Spéciales (RMS)*, 123(3):56–74, 2013.

[6] Kim Dang and Dirk Zeindler. The characteristic polynomial of a random permutation matrix at different points. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 124(1):411–439, 2014.

[7] Paul-Olivier Dehaye and Dirk Zeindler. On averages of randomized class functions on the symmetric groups and their asymptotics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0911.4038*, 2009.

[8] Steven N Evans. Eigenvalues of random wreath products. *Electron. J. Probab*, 7:1–15, 2002.

[9] Warren J Ewens. The sampling theory of selectively neutral alleles. *Theoretical population biology*, 3(1):87–112, 1972.

[10] BM Hambly, Peter Keevash, Neil O'Connell, and Dudley Stark. The characteristic polynomial of a random permutation matrix. *Stochastic processes and their applications*, 90(2):335–346, 2000.

[11] Joseph Najnudel and Ashkan Nikeghbali. The distribution of eigenvalues of randomized permutation matrices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1005.0402*, 2010.

[12] Kelly Wieand. Eigenvalue distributions of random unitary matrices. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 123(2):202–224, 2002.

[13] Kelly Wieand. Permutation matrices, wreath products, and the distribution of eigenvalues. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 16(3):599–623, 2003.

[14] Kelly Wieand et al. Eigenvalue distributions of random permutation matrices. *The Annals of Probability*, 28(4):1563–1587, 2000.

[15] Dirk Zeindler. Permutation matrices and the moments of their characteristics polynomials. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 15(34):1092–1118, 2010.

[16] Dirk Zeindler. Central limit theorem for multiplicative class functions on the symmetric group. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 26(4):968–996, 2013.

[17] Antoni Zygmund. *Trigonometric series*, volume 1. Cambridge university press, 2002.